**Vega Investment Group Limited** 

# CrownToken and VucaStaking

Smart Contract Audit Report







OKEN

Public



# Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Overview	3
About CrownToken and VucaStaking	3
Scope of Work	3
Auditors	5
Disclaimer	5
Audit Result Summary	6
Methodology	7
Audit Items	8
Risk Rating	10
Findings	11
Review Findings Summary	11
Detailed Result	13
Appendix	120
About Us	120
Contact Information	120
References	121



# Executive Summary

# **Overview**

Valix conducted a smart contract audit to evaluate potential security issues of the **CrownToken and VucaStaking features**. This audit report was published on *2 Dec 2022*. The audit scope is limited to the **CrownToken and VucaStaking features**. Our security best practices strongly recommend that the **Vega Investment Group team** conduct a full security audit for both on-chain and off-chain components of its infrastructure and their interaction. A comprehensive examination has been performed during the audit process utilizing Valix's Formal Verification, Static Analysis, and Manual Review techniques.

# About CrownToken and VucaStaking

CROWN token is an entertainment token that bridges traditional IPs with blockchain technology to enhance the core business and create additional value for both IP owners and the community. The token is supported by high-quality IP projects, including animated movies, series, and live-action films. Users can be relevant in the entertainment and IPs industry value chain by utilizing the Staking feature of CROWN token by putting CROWN token in staking smart contracts created by VUCA on *adotmarketplace.com*. The reward for the staking pool derives from many streams, e.g., marketing or community development campaigns.

# **Scope of Work**

The security audit conducted does not replace the full security audit of the overall Vega Investment Group's protocol. The scope is limited to the **CrownToken and VucaStaking features** and their related smart contracts.

The security audit covered the components at this specific state:

Item	Description			
Components	<ul> <li>CrownToken smart contract</li> <li>VucaStaking smart contract</li> <li>Imported associated smart contracts and libraries</li> </ul>			
Git Repository	<ul> <li>https://github.com/pellartech/vuca-blockchain-public</li> </ul>			
Audit Commit	<ul> <li>13fcd040cac4e00d4a2df2adfbd31aaaffa09ecd (branch: main)</li> </ul>			



Certified Commit	<ul> <li>3fafff9d7ddc1c1c868e350e3ec250f2d4e784ae (branch: main)</li> </ul>
Audited Files	<ul> <li>./contracts/CrownToken.sol</li> <li>./contracts/VucaOwnable.sol</li> <li>./contracts/VucaStaking.sol</li> <li>Other imported associated Solidity files</li> </ul>
Excluded Files/Contracts	<ul> <li>./contracts/mock/CWT.sol</li> <li>./contracts/mock/USDT.sol</li> </ul>

Remark: Our security best practices strongly recommend that the Vega Investment Group team conduct a full security audit for both on-chain and off-chain components of its infrastructure and the interaction between them.



# **Auditors**

Role	Staff List
Auditors	Anak Mirasing Atitawat Pol-in Kritsada Dechawattana Parichaya Thanawuthikrai Phuwanai Thummavet
Authors	Anak Mirasing Atitawat Pol-in Kritsada Dechawattana Parichaya Thanawuthikrai Phuwanai Thummavet
Reviewers	Sumedt Jitpukdebodin

# Disclaimer

Our smart contract audit was conducted over a limited period and was performed on the smart contract at a single point in time. As such, the scope was limited to current known risks during the work period. The review does not indicate that the smart contract and blockchain software has no vulnerability exposure.

We reviewed the security of the smart contracts with our best effort, and we do not guarantee a hundred percent coverage of the underlying risk existing in the ecosystem. The audit was scoped only in the provided code repository. The on-chain code is not in the scope of auditing.

This audit report does not provide any warranty or guarantee, nor should it be considered an "approval" or "endorsement" of any particular project. This audit report should also not be used as investment advice nor provide any legal compliance.



# Audit Result Summary

From the audit results and the remediation and response from the developer, Valix trusts that the **CrownToken and VucaStaking features** have sufficient security protections to be safe for use.



Initially, Valix was able to identify **36 issues** that were categorized from the "Critical" to "Informational" risk level in the given timeframe of the assessment.

For the reassessment, the *Vega Investment Group* team fixed all critical issues but left 1 high issue acknowledged due to their business requirement. Besides, the team left 2 medium issues acknowledged, 1 low issue partially fixed, 1 low issue acknowledged, and 1 informational issue acknowledged.

Below is the breakdown of the vulnerabilities found and their associated risk rating for each assessment conducted.

Target		Assessment Result			Reassessment Result					
Target	С	Н	М	L	1	С	H	М	L	1
CrownToken and VucaStaking	2	10	10	10	4	0	1	2	2	1
Note: Disk Dating	Pritical		lich	м	Madium		1.014	,	Inform	notional
Note: Risk Rating C (	Critical,	H F	ligh,	М	Medium,		Low,	/	Inforr	national



# Methodology

The smart contract security audit methodology is based on Smart Contract Weakness Classification and Test Cases (SWC Registry), CWE, well-known best practices, and smart contract hacking case studies. Manual and automated review approaches can be mixed and matched, including business logic analysis in terms of the malicious doer's perspective. Using automated scanning tools to navigate or find offending software patterns in the codebase along with a purely manual or semi-automated approach, where the analyst primarily relies on one's knowledge, is performed to eliminate the false-positive results.



#### **Planning and Understanding**

- Determine the scope of testing and understanding of the application's purposes and workflows.
- Identify key risk areas, including technical and business risks.
- Determine which sections to review within the resource constraints and review method automated, manual or mixed.

#### **Automated Review**

- Adjust automated source code review tools to inspect the code for known unsafe coding patterns.
- Verify the tool's output to eliminate false-positive results, and adjust and re-run the code review tool if necessary.

### **Manual Review**

- Analyzing the business logic flaws requires thinking in unconventional methods.
- Identify unsafe coding behavior via static code analysis.

### Reporting

- Analyze the root cause of the flaws.
- Recommend improvements for secure source code.



# **Audit Items**

We perform the audit according to the following categories and test names.

Category	ID	Test Name
	SEC01	Authorization Through tx.origin
	SEC02	Business Logic Flaw
	SEC03	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee
	SEC04	DoS With Block Gas Limit
	SEC05	DoS with Failed Call
	SEC06	Function Default Visibility
	SEC07	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments
	SEC08	Incorrect Constructor Name
	SEC09	Improper Access Control or Authorization
	SEC10	Improper Emergency Response Mechanism
	SEC11	Insufficient Validation of Address Length
	SEC12	Integer Overflow and Underflow
	SEC13	Outdated Compiler Version
Security Issue	SEC14	Outdated Library Version
	SEC15	Private Data On-Chain
	SEC16	Reentrancy
	SEC17	Transaction Order Dependence
	SEC18	Unchecked Call Return Value
	SEC19	Unexpected Token Balance
	SEC20	Unprotected Assignment of Ownership
	SEC21	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction
	SEC22	Unprotected Token Withdrawal
	SEC23	Unsafe Type Inference
	SEC24	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions
	SEC25	Use of Untrusted Code or Libraries
	SEC26	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes
	SEC27	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location



Category	ID	Test Name
	FNC01	Arithmetic Precision
Functional Issue	FNC02	Permanently Locked Fund
Functional issue	FNC03	Redundant Fallback Function
	FNC04	Timestamp Dependence
	OPT01	Code With No Effects
	OPT02	Message Call with Hardcoded Gas Amount
Operational Issue	OPT03	The Implementation Contract Flow or Value and the Document is Mismatched
	OPT04	The Usage of Excessive Byte Array
	OPT05	Unenforced Timelock on An Upgradeable Proxy Contract
	DEV01	Assert Violation
	DEV02	Other Compilation Warnings
	DEV03	Presence of Unused Variables
Developmental Issue	DEV04	Shadowing State Variables
	DEV05	State Variable Default Visibility
	DEV06	Typographical Error
	DEV07	Uninitialized Storage Pointer
	DEV08	Violation of Solidity Coding Convention
	DEV09	Violation of Token (ERC20) Standard API



# **Risk Rating**

To prioritize the vulnerabilities, we have adopted the scheme of five distinct levels of risk: **Critical**, **High**, **Medium**, **Low**, and **Informational**, based on OWASP Risk Rating Methodology. The risk level definitions are presented in the table.

Risk Level	Definition
Critical	The code implementation does not match the specification, and it could disrupt the platform.
High	The code implementation does not match the specification, or it could result in losing funds for contract owners or users.
Medium	The code implementation does not match the specification under certain conditions, or it could affect the security standard by losing access control.
Low	The code implementation does not follow best practices or use suboptimal design patterns, which may lead to security vulnerabilities further down the line.
Informational	Findings in this category are informational and may be further improved by following best practices and guidelines.

The **risk value** of each issue was calculated from the product of the **impact** and **likelihood values**, as illustrated in a two-dimensional matrix below.

- Likelihood represents how likely a particular vulnerability is exposed and exploited in the wild.
- Impact measures the technical loss and business damage of a successful attack.
- **Risk** demonstrates the overall criticality of the risk.

Likelihood Impact	High	Medium	Low
High	Critical	High	Medium
Medium	High	Medium	Low
Low	Medium	Low	Informational

The shading of the matrix visualizes the different risk levels. Based on the acceptance criteria, the risk levels "Critical" and "High" are unacceptable. Any issue obtaining the above levels must be resolved to lower the risk to an acceptable level.



# Findings

# **Review Findings Summary**

The table below shows the summary of our assessments.

No.	Issue	Risk	Status	Functionality is in use
1	Potentially Draining Pools' Reward Tokens	Critical	Fixed	In use
2	Depending On Incorrect Reward Token Balance #1	Critical	Fixed	In use
3	Potential Denial-Of-Service On Staking Pools	High	Fixed	In use
4	Potential Overriding Pool Changes	High	Fixed	In use
5	Updating Staking End Block Could Lead To State Inconsistency	High	Fixed	In use
6	Incorrectly Calculating Staking Rewards	High	Fixed	In use
7	Potential Denial-Of-Service On Calculating Staker's Rewards	High	Fixed	In use
8	Incorrect Logic Design Of Globally Shared Pool Of Funds	High	Fixed	In use
9	Improperly Sharing Staking Pool's Tokens Balance	High	Acknowledged	In use
10	Incorrectly Sharing Reward Token Balance Between Staking Pools	High	Fixed	In use
11	Improperly Updating Staking Pool Parameters	High	Fixed	In use
12	Incorrectly Applying Pool Changes	High	Fixed	In use
13	Possibly Stealing All Pools' Staking and Reward Tokens	Medium	Fixed	In use
14	Incorrect Calculation Of Withdrawable Pool Rewards #1	Medium	Fixed	In use
15	Depending On Incorrect Reward Token Balance #2	Medium	Fixed	In use
16	Lack Of Guaranteeing Pool State Consistency	Medium	Fixed	In use
17	Usage Of Unsafe Token Transfer Functions	Medium	Fixed	In use
18	Removal Recommendation For Mock Function	Medium	Fixed	In use
19	Possibly Permanent Ownership Removal	Medium	Fixed	In use
20	Unsafe Ownership Transfer	Medium	Fixed	In use



21	Recommended Improving Transparency And Trustworthiness Of Privileged Operations	Medium	Acknowledged	in use
22	Users Can Mistakenly Transfer Reward Tokens To Staking Pools	Medium	Acknowledged	In use
23	Incorrect Calculation Of Withdrawable Pool Rewards #2	Low	Fixed	In use
24	Possibly Unstaking Or Retrieving Reward Tokens Before Staking Period Ends	Low	Fixed	In use
25	Recommended Event Emissions For Transparency And Traceability	Low	Partially Fixed	In use
26	Compiler Is Not Locked To Specific Version	Low	Fixed	In use
27	Compiler May Be Susceptible To Publicly Disclosed Bugs	Low	Fixed	In use
28	Lack Of Applying Pool Changes	Low	Fixed	In use
29	Incorrectly Calculating Total Pool Rewards	Low	Fixed	In use
30	Incorrectly Calculating User's Pool Rewards	Low	Fixed	In use
31	Lack Of Proper Input Sanitization Check	Low	Acknowledged	In use
32	Malfunction Of The depositPoolReward Function	Low	Fixed	In use
33	Inconsistent Error Message With The Code	Informational	Fixed	In use
34	Inconsistent Event Emission With The Code #1	Informational	Fixed	In use
35	Recommended Enforcing Checks-Effects- Interactions Pattern	Informational	Fixed	In use
36	Inconsistent Event Emission With The Code #2	Informational	Acknowledged	In use

The statuses of the issues are defined as follows:

Fixed: The issue has been completely resolved and has no further complications.

Partially Fixed: The issue has been partially resolved.

Acknowledged: The issue's risk has been reported and acknowledged.



# **Detailed Result**

This section provides all issues that we found in detail.

No. 1	Potentially Draining Pools' Reward Tokens					
Diale	Oritical	Likelihood	High			
Risk	Critical	Impact	High			
Functionality is in use	In use Status Fixed					
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol					
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 335 - 346					

# **Detailed Issue**

We detected that the *withdrawERC20* function allows an owner to mistakenly drain all (specific) reward tokens locked in the *VucaStaking* contract, which might be the shared funds from multiple staking pools.

The withdrawERC20 function could also be adopted by an attacker to steal all reward tokens.

Consider the following two scenarios that can exploit the issue.

1. Since the *withdrawERC20* function does not record the amount (the \_*amount* parameter in L345 in the code snippet below) of the withdrawn reward tokens, the function allows an owner to mistakenly withdraw reward tokens more than the actual amount rewarded to that specific pool.

As a result, all reward tokens could be drained from the VucaStaking contract.

2. An attacker with a compromised owner account can drain all reward tokens locked in the contract by adding a new (dummy) short-lived pool and waiting for the end of the pool staking. Next, the attacker can drain all reward tokens by inputting the total balance of the locked rewards into the *withdrawERC20* function.



Vuca	VucaStaking.sol		
335	function withdrawERC20(		
336	<pre>uint16 _poolId,</pre>		
337	address _to,		
338	uint256 _amount		
339	) external onlyOwner {		
340	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);		
341	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>		
342	<pre>require(pool.endBlock &lt;= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>		
343	<pre>require(pool.tokensStaked == 0, "Not allowed");</pre>		
344			
345	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(_to, _amount);</pre>		
346	}		

Listing 1.1 The withdrawERC20 function that could drain all reward tokens

The root cause of this issue is that the *withdrawERC20* function **does not account for the amount (the \_amount parameter in L345) of the withdrawn reward tokens on each staking pool**. Therefore, the function would allow an owner or attacker to withdraw reward tokens multiple times as long as the locked tokens are available.

# **Recommendations**

We recommend updating the withdrawERC20 function as the code snippet below.

The *withdrawERC20* function would **check for the reward amount available to withdraw (of each specific staking pool) against the input parameter \_amount (L348).** 

Then, the function would account for the withdrawn amount (L350) before transferring the reward tokens to the specified address, \_to.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol		
335	function withdrawERC20(		
336	<pre>uint16 _poolId,</pre>		
337	address _to,		
338	uint256 _amount		
339	) external onlyOwner {		
340	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);		
341	Pool <mark>storage</mark> pool = pools[_poolId];		
342	<pre>require(pool.endBlock &lt;= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>		
343	<pre>require(pool.tokensStaked == 0, "Not allowed");</pre>		
344			
345	<mark>uint256 totalUserRewards = pool.totalUserRewards /</mark>		
	<pre>(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>		
346	<mark>uint256</mark> rewardsWithdrew = pool.rewardsWithdrew /		



	<pre>(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
347	
348	<mark>require(totalUserRewards - rewardsWithdrew &gt;= _amount,</mark> "Insufficient pool
	rewards");
349	
350	pool.rewardsWithdrew += _amount * (10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) *
	REWARDS_PRECISION;
351	
352	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(_to, _amount);</pre>
353	}

Listing 1.2 The improved withdrawERC20 function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

## Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team decided to remove the *withdrawERC20* function. Hence, this issue was closed.



No. 2	Depending On Incorrect Reward Token Balance #1		
Diale	Critical	Likelihood	High
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 312 - 332		

## **Detailed Issue**

We discovered that the *retrieveReward* function depends on the incorrect reward token balance (L326 and L329 in the code snippet below), **leading to potentially draining all (specific) reward tokens locked in the** *VucaStaking* **contract, which might be the shared funds from multiple staking pools.** 

Consider the following two scenarios to exploit the issue.

Since the *retrieveReward* function does not record the amount (the \_*amount* parameter in L331) of the withdrawn reward tokens, the function allows an owner to mistakenly withdraw reward tokens more than the actual amount rewarded to that specific pool.

As a result, all reward tokens could be drained from the VucaStaking contract.

2. An attacker with a compromised owner account can drain all reward tokens locked in the contract by adding a new (dummy) short-lived pool and waiting for the end of the pool staking. Next, the attacker can drain all reward tokens by inputting the total balance of the locked rewards into the *retrieveReward* function.

### VucaStaking.sol

312	<pre>function retrieveReward(</pre>
313	<pre>uint16 _poolId,</pre>
314	address _to,
315	uint256 _amount
316	) external onlyOwner {
317	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
318	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
319	<pre>require(pool.endBlock &lt;= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>
320	
321	<pre>_updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);</pre>
322	<pre>pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>



323	
324	<pre>uint256 totalUserRewards = pool.totalUserRewards /</pre>
	<pre>(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
325	<pre>uint256 rewardsWithdrew = pool.rewardsWithdrew /</pre>
	<pre>(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
326	<pre>uint256 contractBalance = IERC20(pool.rewardToken).balanceOf(address(this));</pre>
327	
328	<pre>// maximum amount withdrawal = balance - max claimable</pre>
329	<pre>require(_amount + totalUserRewards &lt;= contractBalance + rewardsWithdrew);</pre>
330	
331	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(_to, _amount);</pre>
332	}

Listing 2.1 The *retrieveReward* function that depends on the incorrect reward token balance

The root cause of this issue is that the *retrieveReward* function depends on the incorrect reward token balance (L326) which could represent the total balance aggregated from multiple staking pools. Hence, the *require* statement (L329) that checks for a maximum withdrawable amount would be performed incorrectly.

Furthermore, the *retrieveReward* function also **does not account for the amount (the \_amount parameter in L331) of the withdrawn reward tokens on each staking pool**. Therefore, the function would allow an owner or attacker to withdraw reward tokens multiple times as long as the locked tokens are available.

### Recommendations

Since no recommended code or solution can fully fix this issue without breaking the contract's features, we recommend redesigning and reimplementing the *retrieveReward* function and related subsystems **to track** each pool's *staking* and *reward tokens* isolatedly.

#### Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team fixed this issue by reworking the *createPool* function (L191 in the code snippet below) to **allow the creation of only one staking pool for each** *VucaStaking* **contract**.

VucaStaking.sol	
179	function createPool(
180	address _rewardToken,
181	address _stakeToken,
182	<pre>uint256 _maxStakeTokens,</pre>
183	<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>
184	<pre>uint256 _endBlock,</pre>
185	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>



```
186
         uint32 _updateDelay
187
     ) external onlyOwner {
188
         require(_startBlock > block.number && _startBlock < _endBlock, "Invalid</pre>
     start/end block");
189
         require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");
         require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid staking token");
190
         require(currentPoolId == 0, "Staking pool was already created");
191
192
193
         pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;
194
         pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = rewardToken;
195
         pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;
196
197
         pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;
198
         pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = startBlock;
199
         pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = endBlock;
200
201
         pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *
     (10**IERC20Helper( stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS PRECISION;
202
         pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;
203
         pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = updateDelay; // = 8 hours;
204
205
         emit PoolCreated(1, currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], block.number);
206
         currentPoolId += 1;
207
     }
```

Listing 2.2 The *createPool* function that allows the creation of only one staking pool



No. 3	Potential Denial-Of-Service On Staking Pools		
Diale	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is In use Status		Fixed	
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 73 - 78, 81 312 - 332,	- 104, 114 - 125, 128 - 150 335 - 346, and 349 - 371	D, 153 - 176, 179 - 208,

# **Detailed Issue**

We noticed the *potential denial-of-service issue* affecting the following functions of the *VucaStaking* contract.

- 1. getRewards function (L73 78)
- 2. getLatestPoolInfo function (L81 104)
- 3. getRewardsWithdrawable function (L114 125)
- 4. *stake* function (L128 150)
- 5. emergencyWithdraw function (L153 176)
- 6. unStake function (L179 208)
- 7. retrieveReward function (L312 332)
- 8. withdrawERC20 function (L335 346)
- 9. \_updatePoolInfo function (L349 371)

The root cause of this issue is due to each affected function requiring the process of validating and applying active pool changes (to a specific staking pool) to be done before executing the function's main functionality.

Two functions that are the root cause of the denial-of-service issue include the *\_updatePoolInfo* function (code snippet 3.1) and the *getLatestPoolInfo* function (code snippet 3.2).

The affected functions depending on the \_updatePoolInfo function are as follows.

- *stake* function (L128 150)
- emergencyWithdraw function (L153 176)
- *unStake* function (L179 208)
- retrieveReward function (L312 332)
- withdrawERC20 function (L335 346)



The affected functions depending on the getLatestPoolInfo function are as follows.

- getRewards function (L73 78)
- getLatestPoolInfo function (L81 104)
- getRewardsWithdrawable function (L114 125)
- unStake function (L179 208)

Inside the *\_updatePoolInfo* and *getLatestPoolInfo* functions, there are the *for-loops* that iterate through the *poolsChanges* array of each specific staking pool (L352 - 370 in code snippet 3.1 and 84 - 104 in code snippet 3.2). The *loop* would iterate over all array elements to look for active pool changes and apply the changes to the pool.

We found that this process can consume a lot of gas and the gas used is prone to exceeding the block gas limit if the length of the *poolsChanges* array and/or the number of the active pool changes are too large. In the case of exceeding the block gas limit, a transaction would be reverted, leading to the denial-of-service issue to the affected functions.

VucaStaking.sol

<pre>349 function _updatePoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) internal { 350 Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId]; 351 352 uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length; 353 for (uint256 i; i &lt; size; i++) { 354 PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i]; 355 356 if (changes.applied) { 357 continue; 358 } 360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362 continue; 363 } 364 365 _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 368 changes.applied = true; 370 } </pre>		
<pre>351 352 uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length; 353 for (uint256 i; i &lt; size; i++) { 354 PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i]; 355 356 if (changes.applied) { 357 continue; 358 } 360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362 continue; 363 } 364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	349	<pre>function _updatePoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) internal {</pre>
<pre>352 uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length; 353 for (uint256 i; i &lt; size; i++) { PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i]; 355 356 if (changes.applied) { continue; 358 } 359 360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { continue; 363 } 364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	350	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
<pre>353 for (uint256 i; i &lt; size; i++) { 354 PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i]; 355 356 if (changes.applied) { 357 continue; 358 } 359  360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362 continue; 363 } 364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	351	
<pre>354 PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i]; 355 356 if (changes.applied) { 357      continue; 358 } 359 360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362      continue; 363 } 364 365 _ updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	352	<pre>uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;</pre>
<pre>355 356 if (changes.applied) { 357      continue; 358 } 359 360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362      continue; 363 } 364 365     _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366      pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367      pool.endBlock = changes.maxStakeTokens; 368      pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369      changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	353	<pre>for (uint256 i; i &lt; size; i++) {</pre>
<pre>356 if (changes.applied) { 357      continue; 358 } 359  360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362      continue; 363      } 364  365      _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366      pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367      pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368      pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369      changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	354	<pre>PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];</pre>
<pre>357 continue; 358 } 359 360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362 continue; 363 } 364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	355	
<pre>358 } 359  360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362 continue; 363 } 364  365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	356	
<pre>359 360 360 361 361 362 362 363 363 363 363 364 365 366 365 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.maxStakeTokens; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 370 }</pre>	357	
<pre>360 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362 continue; 363 } 364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	358	<b>}</b>
<pre>361 if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) { 362 continue; 363 } 364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	359	
<pre>362 continue; 363 } 364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	360	<pre>uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;</pre>
<pre>363 } 364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>	361	
<pre>364 365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>		
<pre>365updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock); 366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>		<mark>}</mark>
<pre>366 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>		
<pre>367 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>		
<pre>368 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; 369 changes.applied = true; 370 }</pre>		
369   changes.applied = true;     370   }		
370 }		
371 }		
	371	}

Listing 3.1 The *\_updatePoolInfo* function that iterates to apply all active pool changes for a specific staking pool

VucaStaking.sol



#### 81 function getLatestPoolInfo(uint16 \_poolId) public view returns (Pool memory) { 82 Pool memory pool = pools[ poolId]; 83 84 uint256 size = poolsChanges[\_poolId].length; 85 for (uint256 i; i < size; i++) {</pre> 86 PoolChanges memory changes = poolsChanges[\_poolId][i]; 87 88 if (changes.applied) { 89 continue; 90 } 91 uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay; 92 if (!(pool.endBlock > updateAtBlock && block.number >= updateAtBlock)) { 93 94 continue; 95 } 96 97 uint256 rewards; (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, rewards) = 98 getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(\_poolId, updateAtBlock); 99 pool.totalUserRewards += rewards; 100 101 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens; 102 pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock; 103 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock; } 104 105 106 uint256 rewards; 107 (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, \_rewards) = getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(\_poolId, block.number); 108 pool.totalUserRewards += \_rewards; 109 110 return pool; 111 }

Listing 3.2 The *getLatestPoolInfo* function that iterates over pool changes to simulate the latest info for a specific staking pool

The code snippet 3.3 below presents the *emergencyWithdraw*, one of the affected functions, that would execute the *\_updatePoolInfo* function (L154) to apply active pool changes before transferring staking tokens to a staker (function caller).

In case the *\_updatePoolInfo* function consumes more gas than the block gas limit, all stakers (including even platform owners) would not be able to interact with the staking pool anymore. This issue also includes the case of stakers withdrawing their staking tokens via the *emergencyWithdraw* function.



#### VucaStaking.sol

```
153
     function emergencyWithdraw(uint16 _poolId) external {
154
         updatePoolInfo( poolId);
155
         Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];
156
         Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];
157
         uint256 amount = staking.amount;
158
         require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds");
159
160
         _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);
161
         // Update pool
162
         if (pool.tokensStaked >= amount) {
           pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
163
164
         }
165
166
         staking.amount = 0;
167
168
         // Withdraw tokens
169
         IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);
170
171
         emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);
172
173
         // Update staker
174
         staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;
175
         staking.minusRewards = 0;
176
    }
```

Listing 3.3 One of the affected functions, *emergencyWithdraw*, executing the *\_updatePoolInfo* function

### Recommendations

Since no recommended code or solution can fully fix this issue without breaking the contract's features, we recommend redesigning and reimplementing the pool change update mechanism.

**One possible solution is to apply the pagination concept for batch updates of pool changes.** Specifically, the large number of pending pool changes would be divided into smaller batch updates. All pending pool changes must be updated sequentially when they are in active blocks only.

This way, the pool change update mechanism can guarantee that there would be no conflict when applying changes and can prevent the update from the denial-of-service issue.

### Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team fixed this issue by allowing the maximum number of pending pool changes in the queue (for each staking pool) to be 10.



No. 4	Potential Overriding Pool Changes		
Diale	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 81 - 111, 2	67 - 275, 277 - 287, 290 - 2	99, and 349 - 371

# **Detailed Issue**

Code snippet 4.1 presents the functions *updateMaxStakeTokens* (L267 - 275), *updateRewardTokensPerBlock* (L277 - 287), and *updateEndBlock* (L290 - 299), which allow an owner to update pool parameters (i.e., *maxStakeTokens*, *rewardTokensPerBlock*, and *endBlock* respectively) of a particular pool.

Once an owner triggers one of those functions, a pending *pool change* order would be created and it would be applied by the functions *\_updatePoolInfo* (L366 - 368 in code snippet 4.2) and *getLatestPoolInfo* (L101 - 103 in code snippet 4.3) at its (future) active block number.

We discovered that, with this pool change update mechanism, some pending *pool changes* could potentially be conflicted after they are applied to the pool.

Consider the following pool change update scenario to understand the issue.

• PoolChange #1: For updating the *maxStakeTokens* parameter to 100 was created and would be active at block number 3000.

### PoolChange: {

maxStakeTokens: 100 (the parameter that an owner wanted to update),
endBlock: 5000 (loaded from the contract storage),
rewardTokensPerBlock: 50 (loaded from the contract storage),
activeBlock: 3000

}



• **PoolChange #2:** For updating the *rewardTokensPerBlock* parameter to 200 was created and would be active at block number 3001.

#### PoolChange: {

 maxStakeTokens: 70 (loaded from the contract storage; 100 in the PoolChange #1 was not yet applied),
 endBlock: 5000 (loaded from the contract storage),
 rewardTokensPerBlock: 200 (the parameter that an owner wanted to update),
 activeBlock: 3001

}

Immediately after both *pool changes* have been applied to the pool, the parameter *maxStakeTokens* would store 70 (which is the old value loaded from the contract storage at the time creating the *PoolChange* #2; the new value of 100 in the *PoolChange* #1 would not be effective on the pool as expected).

This issue could lead to *incorrect pool parameter configurations*. And, the owner has no way of knowing which *pool changes* have been committed but not been applied to the pool.

The root cause of this issue is that the structure of the *pool change* payload contains all three pool parameters *rewardTokensPerBlock*, *endBlock*, and *maxStakeTokens* (L271 in code snippet 4.1). But, when each *pool change* order is created, only a single parameter would be required to get updated at a time and the other parameters would be loaded from the contract storage. Hence, this incorrect update mechanism could lead to the *pool change overriding* issue.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol		
267	<pre>function updateMaxStakeTokens(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _maxStakeTokens) external</pre>		
260	onlyOwner {		
268	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>		
269	<pre>require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay &lt; pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>		
	"Exceed Blocks");		
270			
271	PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges( <mark>{ applied: false,</mark>		
	<pre>rewardTokensPerBlock: pools[_poolId].rewardTokensPerBlock, endBlock:</pre>		
	<pre>pools[_poolId].endBlock, maxStakeTokens: _maxStakeTokens, timestamp:</pre>		
	<pre>block.timestamp, blockNumber: block.number } );</pre>		
272	<pre>poolsChanges[_poolId].push(changes);</pre>		
273			
274	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number +</pre>		
	<pre>pools[_poolId].updateDelay);</pre>		
275	}		
276			
277	<pre>function updateRewardTokensPerBlock(uint16 _poolId, uint256</pre>		
	_rewardTokensPerBlock) external onlyOwner {		
278	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>		



```
279
         require(block.number + pools[ poolId].updateDelay < pools[ poolId].endBlock,</pre>
     "Exceed Blocks");
280
281
         uint256 rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *
     (10**IERC20(pools[_poolId].stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;
282
         PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges({ applied: false,
283
     rewardTokensPerBlock: rewardTokensPerBlock, endBlock: pools[_poolId].endBlock,
     maxStakeTokens: pools[_poolId].maxStakeTokens, timestamp: block.timestamp,
     blockNumber: block.number });
284
         poolsChanges[ poolId].push(changes);
285
286
         emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number +
     pools[ poolId].updateDelay);
287
     }
288
289
    // end block updatable
290
    function updateEndBlock(uint16 poolId, uint256 endBlock) external onlyOwner {
         require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");
291
292
         require(block.number <= endBlock, "Invalid input");</pre>
293
         require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay < pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>
     "Exceed Blocks");
294
         PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges({ applied: false,
295
     rewardTokensPerBlock: pools[_poolId].rewardTokensPerBlock, endBlock: _endBlock,
     maxStakeTokens: pools[_poolId].maxStakeTokens, timestamp: block.timestamp,
     blockNumber: block.number });
296
         poolsChanges[ poolId].push(changes);
297
298
         emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number +
     pools[_poolId].updateDelay);
299
     }
```

Listing 4.1 The updateMaxStakeTokens, updateRewardTokensPerBlock, and updateEndBlock functions

#### VucaStaking.sol 349 function \_updatePoolInfo(uint16 \_poolId) internal { 350 Pool storage pool = pools[ poolId]; 351 352 uint256 size = poolsChanges[\_poolId].length; 353 for (uint256 i; i < size; i++) {</pre> 354 PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[ poolId][i]; 355 356 if (changes.applied) { 357 continue; 358 } 359



360	<pre>uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;</pre>
361	<pre>if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) {</pre>
362	continue;
363	}
364	
365	<pre>_updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock);</pre>
366	<pre>pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens;</pre>
367	<pre>pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock;</pre>
368	<pre>pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock;</pre>
369	changes.applied = true;
370	}
371	}

Listing 4.2 The \_updatePoolInfo function that applies pool changes to the pool

```
VucaStaking.sol
 81
     function getLatestPoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (Pool memory) {
 82
         Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];
 83
 84
         uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;
 85
         for (uint256 i; i < size; i++) {</pre>
 86
             PoolChanges memory changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];
 87
             if (changes.applied) {
 88
                  continue:
 89
 90
             }
 91
 92
             uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;
 93
             if (!(pool.endBlock > updateAtBlock && block.number >= updateAtBlock)) {
 94
                  continue;
 95
             }
 96
 97
             uint256 rewards;
 98
              (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, rewards) =
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, updateAtBlock);
 99
             pool.totalUserRewards += rewards;
100
101
             pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens;
102
             pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock;
103
             pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock;
104
         }
105
106
         uint256 _rewards;
107
         (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, _rewards) =
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, block.number);
108
         pool.totalUserRewards += _rewards;
109
110
         return pool;
```



# 111 }

Listing 4.3 The getLatestPoolInfo function that employs pool changes

### **Recommendations**

We recommend revising all the associated functions and data structures. In code snippet 4.4 below, we revised the functions *updateMaxStakeTokens* (L267 - 275), *updateRewardTokensPerBlock* (L277 - 287), and *updateEndBlock* (L290 - 299) to support updating only a single pool parameter at a time.

We also improved the functions **\_updatePoolInfo** (L367 - 375 in code snippet 4.5) and **getLatestPoolInfo** (L101 - 109 in code snippet 4.6) to update only a single pool parameter over each *pool change* order.

VucaStaking.sol		
267	<pre>function updateMaxStakeTokens(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _maxStakeTokens) external</pre>	
	onlyOwner {	
268	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>	
269	<pre>require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay &lt; pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>	
270	"Exceed Blocks");	
270		
271	PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges({ applied: false, updateParam:	
	<pre>UpdateParam.MaxStakeTokens, updateParamValue: _maxStakeTokens, timestamp: block.timestamp, blockNumber: block.number });</pre>	
272	<pre>poolsChanges[ poolId].push(changes);</pre>	
272	poorschanges[_poorrd].push(changes),	
273	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number +</pre>	
2/4	<pre>pools[_poolId].updateDelay);</pre>	
275	}	
276		
277	<pre>function updateRewardTokensPerBlock(uint16 _poolId, uint256</pre>	
	_rewardTokensPerBlock) external onlyOwner {	
278	<pre>require(pools[ poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>	
279	<pre>require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay &lt; pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>	
	"Exceed Blocks");	
280		
281	<pre>uint256 rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *</pre>	
	<pre>(10**IERC20(pools[_poolId].stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>	
282		
283	PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges( <mark>{ applied: false, updateParam:</mark>	
	UpdateParam.RewardTokensPerBlock, updateParamValue: rewardTokensPerBlock,	
	<pre>timestamp: block.timestamp, blockNumber: block.number } );</pre>	
284	<pre>poolsChanges[_poolId].push(changes);</pre>	
285		
286	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number +</pre>	
	<pre>pools[_poolId].updateDelay);</pre>	
287	}	
288		



289	// end block updatable
290	<pre>function updateEndBlock(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _endBlock) external onlyOwner {</pre>
291	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>
292	<pre>require(block.number &lt;= _endBlock, "Invalid input");</pre>
293	<pre>require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay &lt; pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>
	"Exceed Blocks");
294	
295	PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges( <mark>{ applied: false, updateParam:</mark>
	UpdateParam.EndBlock, updateParamValue: _endBlock, timestamp: block.timestamp,
	<pre>blockNumber: block.number };</pre>
296	<pre>poolsChanges[_poolId].push(changes);</pre>
297	
298	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number +</pre>
	<pre>pools[_poolId].updateDelay);</pre>
299	}

Listing 4.4 The improved updateMaxStakeTokens, updateRewardTokensPerBlock, and updateEndBlock functions that support updating only a single pool parameter at a time

#### VucaStaking.sol

```
349
     function _updatePoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) internal {
350
         Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];
351
352
         uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;
353
         for (uint256 i; i < size; i++) {</pre>
354
             PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];
355
356
             if (changes.applied) {
357
                 continue;
358
             }
359
360
             uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;
361
             if (!(pool.endBlock > updateAtBlock && block.number >= updateAtBlock)) {
362
                 continue;
363
             }
364
365
             _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock);
366
367
             if (changes.updateParam == UpdateParam.MaxStakeTokens) {
368
                 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.updateParamValue;
369
             }
370
             else if (changes.updateParam == UpdateParam.EndBlock) {
371
                 pool.endBlock = changes.updateParamValue;
372
             }
373
             else if (changes.updateParam == UpdateParam.RewardTokensPerBlock) {
                 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.updateParamValue;
374
375
             }
376
```



377			changes.applied = true;
378		}	
379	}		

Listing 4.5 The improved \_updatePoolInfo function

```
VucaStaking.sol
 81
     function getLatestPoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (Pool memory) {
 82
         Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];
 83
 84
         uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;
 85
         for (uint256 i; i < size; i++) {</pre>
 86
             PoolChanges memory changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];
 87
 88
             if (changes.applied) {
 89
                 continue;
 90
             }
 91
 92
             uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;
 93
             if (!(pool.endBlock > updateAtBlock && block.number >= updateAtBlock)) {
 94
                 continue;
 95
             }
 96
 97
             uint256 rewards;
              (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, rewards) =
 98
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint( poolId, updateAtBlock);
 99
             pool.totalUserRewards += rewards;
100
             if (changes.updateParam == UpdateParam.MaxStakeTokens) {
101
102
                 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.updateParamValue;
103
             }
             else if (changes.updateParam == UpdateParam.EndBlock) {
104
105
                 pool.endBlock = changes.updateParamValue;
106
             }
107
             else if (changes.updateParam == UpdateParam.RewardTokensPerBlock) {
108
                 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.updateParamValue;
             }
109
110
         }
111
112
         uint256 _rewards;
113
         (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, _rewards) =
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, block.number);
114
         pool.totalUserRewards += _rewards;
115
116
         return pool;
117
     }
```

Listing 4.6 The improved getLatestPoolInfo function



The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team adopted our recommended code to fix this issue.



No. 5	Updating Staking End Block Could Lead To State Inconsistency		
Diala	Ulark	Likelihood	Medium
Risk	High	Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	s contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	ns VucaStaking.sol L: 81 - 111, 290 - 299, and 349 - 371		

# **Detailed Issue**

The *updateEndBlock* function (code snippet 5.1) allows an owner to create **a pool change order for updating the** *endBlock* **parameter** (L295 - 296) for a specified pool id. The pool change order would be applied to the pool by the following functions: *\_updatePoolInfo* (L367 in code snippet 5.2) and *getLatestPoolInfo* (L102 in code snippet 5.3).

However, when applying the *endBlock* parameter to the pool, we detected the conflict possibility, leading to the state inconsistency issue.

More specifically, if the new *endBlock* parameter (L295 in code snippet 5.1) is less than or equal to its active block number (*block.number* + *pools[\_poolId].updateDelay*). The conflict would occur if other pool changes with an active block number more than the new *endBlock* parameter were applied before the new *endBlock* parameter is effective.

In other words, all pending pool changes with an active block number more than the new **endBlock** parameter would become invalid, and they would cause state inconsistency suddenly after they are applied to the pool.

To understand this issue better, consider the following pool change update scenario.

- **PoolChange #1:** For updating the *rewardTokensPerBlock* parameter to 100 was created and would be active at block number 1000.
- **PoolChange #2:** For updating the *maxStakeTokens* parameter to 5000 was created and would be active at block number 1001.
- PoolChange #3: For updating the *endBlock* parameter to 900 was created and would be active at block number 1002.



Suddenly after all three pool changes above have been applied, the pool would end staking at block number 900 as per *PoolChange #3*, making the *PoolChange #1* and *PoolChange #2* that had been applied previously became invalid, rendering the inconsistent state to that pool.

The root cause of the issue is that the *updateEndBlock* function lacks proper validation on the *endBlock* parameter (L292 in code snippet 5.1).

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol			
290	<pre>function updateEndBlock(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _endBlock) external onlyOwner {</pre>			
291	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>			
292	<pre>require(block.number &lt;= _endBlock, "Invalid input");</pre>			
293	<pre>require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay &lt; pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>			
	"Exceed Blocks");			
294				
295	<pre>PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges({ applied: false,</pre>			
	rewardTokensPerBlock: pools[_poolId].rewardTokensPerBlock, <mark>endBlock: _endBlock</mark> ,			
	<pre>maxStakeTokens: pools[_poolId].maxStakeTokens, timestamp: block.timestamp,</pre>			
	<pre>blockNumber: block.number });</pre>			
296	<pre>poolsChanges[_poolId].push(changes);</pre>			
297				
298	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number +</pre>			
	<pre>pools[_poolId].updateDelay);</pre>			
299	}			

Listing 5.1 The *updateEndBlock* function that allows an owner to create a pool change order for updating the *endBlock* parameter for a specified pool id

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol		
349	<pre>function _updatePoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) internal {</pre>		
350	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>		
351			
352	<pre>uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;</pre>		
353	<pre>for (uint256 i; i &lt; size; i++) {</pre>		
354	PoolChanges storage changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];		
355			
356	<pre>if (changes.applied) {</pre>		
357	continue;		
358	}		
359			
360	<pre>uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;</pre>		
361	<pre>if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) {</pre>		
362	continue;		
363	}		
364			
365	_updatePoolRewards(_poolId, updateAtBlock);		
366	<pre>pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens;</pre>		
367	<pre>pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock;</pre>		



368		<pre>pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock;</pre>
369		changes.applied = true;
370	]	
371	}	

Listing 5.2 The *\_updatePoolInfo* function that applies the new *endBlock* parameter for a specified pool id

### VucaStaking.sol

```
81
     function getLatestPoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (Pool memory) {
 82
         Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];
 83
 84
         uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;
 85
         for (uint256 i; i < size; i++) {</pre>
 86
             PoolChanges memory changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];
 87
 88
             if (changes.applied) {
 89
                 continue;
 90
             }
 91
 92
             uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;
 93
             if (!(pool.endBlock > updateAtBlock && block.number >= updateAtBlock)) {
 94
                 continue;
 95
             }
 96
 97
             uint256 rewards;
 98
             (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, rewards) =
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, updateAtBlock);
 99
             pool.totalUserRewards += rewards;
100
101
             pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens;
102
             pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock;
103
             pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock;
104
         }
105
106
         uint256 _rewards;
107
         (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, _rewards) =
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, block.number);
108
         pool.totalUserRewards += _rewards;
109
110
         return pool;
111
     }
```

Listing 5.3 The *getLatestPoolInfo* function that applies the new *endBlock* parameter to simulate the latest info for a specific staking pool



# Recommendations

As discussed earlier, the root cause of this issue is that the *updateEndBlock* function lacks proper validation on the **endBlock** parameter. We recommend validating the **endBlock** parameter with the following *require* statement (L292 in the code snippet below).

### require(\_endBlock > block.number + pools[\_poolId].updateDelay, "Invalid input");

This validation check would guarantee that the new **endBlock** parameter must always be more than its active block number, preventing the state inconsistency issue.

VucaStaking.sol		
290	<pre>function updateEndBlock(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _endBlock) external onlyOwner {</pre>	
291	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>	
292	<pre>require(_endBlock &gt; block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay, "Invalid</pre>	
	input");	
293	<pre>require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay &lt; pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>	
	"Exceed Blocks");	
294		
295	PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges({ applied: false,	
	rewardTokensPerBlock: pools[_poolId].rewardTokensPerBlock, endBlock: _endBlock,	
	<pre>maxStakeTokens: pools[_poolId].maxStakeTokens, timestamp: block.timestamp,</pre>	
	<pre>blockNumber: block.number });</pre>	
296	<pre>poolsChanges[_poolId].push(changes);</pre>	
297		
298	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number +</pre>	
	<pre>pools[_poolId].updateDelay);</pre>	
299	}	

Listing 5.4 The improved *updateEndBlock* function with proper validation on the *endBlock* parameter

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue as per our suggestion.



No. 6	Incorrectly Calculating Staking Rewards		
D: 1	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	vucaStaking.sol L: 211 - 233 and 236 - 265		

# **Detailed Issue**

We discovered an incorrect input validation on the *\_startBlock* parameter on the *createPool* function (L245 in code snippet 6.1) leading to incorrectly calculating staking rewards.

If the *\_startBlock* parameter was inputted less than the current block number (*block.number*), the incorrect *\_startBlock*'s value would become the parameter *pool.lastRewardedBlock* (L258 in code snippet 6.1) in the following formula (L226 in code snippet 6.2).

### blocksSinceLastReward = floorBlock - pool.lastRewardedBlock

The computed *blocksSinceLastReward* would be more than the expected value which would cause the calculated *rewards* (L228 in code snippet 6.2) for stakers of that pool to be more than the actual amount. Subsequently, **the platform owner would have to pay stakers more reward tokens than expected.** 

Vuca	Staking.sol
236	function createPool(
237	address _rewardToken,
238	address _stakeToken,
239	uint256 _maxStakeTokens,
240	uint256 _startBlock,
241	uint256 _endBlock,
242	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>
243	uint32 _updateDelay
244	) external onlyOwner {
245	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; 0 &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid start/end</pre>
	block");
246	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
247	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
248	
249	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>
250	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;</pre>



251	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>
252	
253	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;</pre>
254	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
255	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _endBlock;</pre>
256	
257	pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *
	<pre>(10**IERC20(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
258	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
259	pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;
260	
261	PoolChanges memory changes;
262	
263	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], changes,</pre>
	<pre>block.number);</pre>
264	currentPoolId += 1;
265	}

Listing 6.1 The createPool function with incorrect validation on the \_startBlock parameter

Vuca	Staking.sol
211	<pre>function getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _blockNumber)</pre>
212	public
213	view
214	returns (
215	<pre>uint256 accumulatedRewardsPerShare,</pre>
216	<pre>uint256 lastRewardedBlock,</pre>
217	uint256 rewards
218	)
219	{
220	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
221	
222	<pre>uint256 floorBlock = _blockNumber &lt;= pool.endBlock ? _blockNumber :</pre>
	pool.endBlock;
223	
224	<pre>uint256 blocksSinceLastReward;</pre>
225	<pre>if (floorBlock &gt;= pool.lastRewardedBlock) {</pre>
226	<pre>blocksSinceLastReward = floorBlock - pool.lastRewardedBlock;</pre>
227	<mark>}</mark>
228	<pre>rewards = blocksSinceLastReward * pool.rewardTokensPerBlock;</pre>
229	<pre>if (pool.tokensStaked &gt; 0) {</pre>
230	accumulatedRewardsPerShare = pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare + (rewards
	<pre>/ pool.tokensStaked);</pre>
231	}
232	<pre>lastRewardedBlock = floorBlock;</pre>
233	}

Listing 6.2 The getPoolRewardsCheckpoint function that could compute incorrect staking rewards


# Recommendations

We recommend improving the input validation on the *\_startBlock* parameter by checking that **the inputted value must be more than the current block number** (*block.number*) like L245 in the code snippet below.

```
VucaStaking.sol
     function createPool(
236
237
             address _rewardToken,
238
             address stakeToken,
239
             uint256 _maxStakeTokens,
240
             uint256 startBlock,
241
             uint256 endBlock,
242
             uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,
243
             uint32 _updateDelay
244
         ) external onlyOwner {
245
             require(_startBlock > block.number && _startBlock < _endBlock, "Invalid</pre>
     start/end block");
             require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");
246
247
             require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");
248
249
             pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;
250
             pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;
251
             pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;
252
253
             pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;
254
             pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;
255
             pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _endBlock;
256
257
             pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = rewardTokensPerBlock *
     (10**IERC20(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;
258
             pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;
             pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;
259
260
261
             PoolChanges memory changes;
262
263
             emit PoolUpdated(currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], changes,
     block.number);
264
             currentPoolId += 1;
265
     }
```

Listing 6.3 The improved createPool function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.



### Reassessment

This issue was fixed as per our recommendation.



No. 7	Potential Denial-Of-Service On Calculating Staker's Rewards		
Diala	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 73 - 78, 81 - 111, and 179 - 208		

The *unStake* function (code snippet 7.1) allows stakers to withdraw their staking tokens and retrieve their reward tokens after the staking period ends. To calculate the rewards for each staker, the *unStake* function executes the *getRewards* function (L190).

Then, the *getRewards* function invokes the *getLatestPoolInfo* function to get the up-to-date pool's parameters (L75 in code snippet 7.2). The *getLatestPoolInfo* function has to iterate over all pool changes (contained in the *poolsChanges* array) to simulate the up-to-date pool's parameters (L84 - 104 in code snippet 7.3).

We noticed that the process of simulating the up-to-date pool's parameters in the *getLatestPoolInfo* function can consume more gas than the block gas limit if the number of pool changes is too large, causing the unstaking transaction to be reverted.

Moreover, we also noticed that the *getRewards* function actually consumes only the static pool parameter *pool.stakeToken* (L77 in code snippet 7.2) which could be directly loaded from the contract storage.

For this reason, we consider that invoking the *getLatestPoolInfo* function (by the *getRewards* function) without utilizing any dynamic pool parameters would highly increase the opportunity for the unstaking transaction to be reverted due to exceeding the block gas limit.

VucaStaking.sol		
179	<pre>function unStake(uint16 _poolId) external {</pre>	
180	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);	
181	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>	
182	<pre>require(pool.endBlock &lt;= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>	
183		
184	Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];	
185	<pre>uint256 amount = staking.amount;</pre>	



186	<pre>require(staking.amount &gt; 0, "Insufficient funds");</pre>
187	
188	_updatePoolRewards(_poolId, <pre>block.number);</pre>
189	// Pay rewards
190	<pre>uint256 rewards = getRewards(_poolId, msg.sender);</pre>
191	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(msg.sender, rewards);</pre>
192	
193	// Update pool
194	<pre>pool.rewardsWithdrew += getRawRewards(_poolId, msg.sender);</pre>
195	<pre>if (pool.tokensStaked &gt;= amount) {</pre>
196	<pre>pool.tokensStaked -= amount;</pre>
197	}
198	,
199	// Withdraw tokens
200	<pre>IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);</pre>
201	
202	<pre>emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);</pre>
203	care scallingenangea (anglisenael) _pooria, poor, scalling);
205	// Update staker
	•
205	<pre>staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;</pre>
206	<pre>staking.minusRewards = 0;</pre>
207	<pre>staking.amount = 0;</pre>
208	}

Listing 7.1 The *unStake* function executes the *getRewards* function to calculate staker's rewards for a specific staking pool

VucaStaking.sol		
73	<pre>function getRewards(uint16 _poolId, address _account) public view returns (uint256) {</pre>	
74	<pre>uint256 rawRewards = getRawRewards(_poolId, _account);</pre>	
75	<pre>Pool memory pool = getLatestPoolInfo(_poolId);</pre>	
76		
77	<pre>return rawRewards / (10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) /</pre>	
	REWARDS_PRECISION;	
78	}	

Listing 7.2 The *getRewards* function invokes the *getLatestPoolInfo* function to get the up-to-date parameters for a specific staking pool

VucaStaking.sol		
81	<pre>function getLatestPoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (Pool memory) {</pre>	
82	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>	
83		
84	<pre>uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;</pre>	
85	<mark>for (uint256 i; i &lt; size; i++) {</mark>	



86	<pre>PoolChanges memory changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];</pre>	
87		
88	<pre>if (changes.applied) {</pre>	
89	<pre>continue;</pre>	
90	} }	
91		
92	<pre>uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;</pre>	
93	<pre>if (!(pool.endBlock &gt; updateAtBlock &amp;&amp; block.number &gt;= updateAtBlock)) {</pre>	
94	<pre>continue;</pre>	
95	<b>}</b>	
96		
97	uint256 rewards;	
98	<pre>(pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, rewards) =</pre>	
	<pre>getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, updateAtBlock);</pre>	
99	<pre>pool.totalUserRewards += rewards;</pre>	
100		
101	<pre>pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens;</pre>	
102	<pre>pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock;</pre>	
103	<pre>pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock;</pre>	
104	<mark>}</mark>	
105		
106	uint256 _rewards;	
107	(pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, _rewards) =	
	<pre>getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, block.number);</pre>	
108	<pre>pool.totalUserRewards += _rewards;</pre>	
109		
110	return pool;	
111	}	

Listing 7.3 The *getLatestPoolInfo* function that iterates over pool changes to simulate the up-to-date parameters for a specific staking pool, which can consume more gas than the block gas limit

# Recommendations

We consider that invoking the *getLatestPoolInfo* function (by the *getRewards* function) without utilizing any dynamic pool parameters would highly increase the opportunity for the unstaking transaction to be reverted due to exceeding the block gas limit.

To mitigate the denial-of-service issue on unstaking transactions, we recommend updating the *getRewards* function by **directly loading the pool's static parameters from the contract storage instead** like L75 in the below code snippet.



VucaStaking.sol		
73	<pre>function getRewards(uint16 _poolId, address _account) public view returns (uint256) {</pre>	
74	<pre>uint256 rawRewards = getRawRewards(_poolId, _account);</pre>	
75	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>	
76		
77	<pre>return rawRewards / (10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) /</pre>	
	REWARDS_PRECISION;	
78	}	

Listing 7.4 The improved *getRewards* function that directly loads the pool's static parameters from the contract storage

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

#### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue according to our suggestion.



No. 8	Incorrect Logic Design Of Globally Shared Pool Of Funds		
Diale	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	is In use Status Fixed		Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 153 - 176, 179 - 197, 312 - 332, and 335 - 346		

This issue affects the following functions.

- 1. emergencyWithdraw function (L153 176 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 2. unStake function (L179 197 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 3. retrieveReward function (L312 332 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 4. withdrawERC20 function (L335 346 in VucaStaking.sol)

In the *VucaStaking* contract, multiple staking pools can be created and active simultaneously. We found that all staking pools that are utilizing the same staking and/or reward token(s) would share their funds as a global single pool.

Consequently, the invocation of any of the above-listed functions on one staking pool could affect the balance of the other associated staking pools.

For this reason, we considered that the *globally shared pool of funds* was designed incorrectly and the design is prone to several pool imbalance issues.

Imagine if one staking pool's balance is managed incorrectly somehow, that would affect the balance of other staking pools immediately.



# **Recommendations**

We recommend redesigning and reimplementing the associated functions and their subsystems to separate each staking pool's balance apart.

#### Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team fixed this issue by reworking the *createPool* function (L191 in the code snippet below) to **allow the creation of only one staking pool for each** *VucaStaking* **contract**.

VucaStaking.sol			
179	function createPool(		
180	address _rewardToken,		
181	address _stakeToken,		
182	<pre>uint256 _maxStakeTokens,</pre>		
183	<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>		
184	<pre>uint256 _endBlock,</pre>		
185	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>		
186	uint32 _updateDelay		
187	) external onlyOwner {		
188	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; block.number &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid</pre>		
	<pre>start/end block");</pre>		
189	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>		
190	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid staking token");</pre>		
191	<pre>require(currentPoolId == 0, "Staking pool was already created");</pre>		
192			
193	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>		
194	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;</pre>		
195	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>		
196			
197 198	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens; pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = startBlock;</pre>		
198	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock; pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = endBlock;</pre>		
200	poors[currentroorru].enubrockenubrock,		
200	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = rewardTokensPerBlock *</pre>		
201	(10**IERC20Helper( stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS PRECISION;		
202	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = startBlock;</pre>		
203	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>		
204	here <b>F</b> and a <b>F</b> and		
205	<pre>emit PoolCreated(1, currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], block.number);</pre>		
206	<pre>currentPoolId += 1;</pre>		
207	}		

Listing 8.1 The *createPool* function that allows the creation of only one staking pool



No. 9	Improperly Sharing Staking Pool's Tokens Balance		
Diak	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Acknowledged
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 236 - 265		

In the *VucaStaking* contract, the *createPool* function (code snippet below) permits an owner to create a staking pool with the same staking and reward tokens. At this point, **we found the improperly shared tokens balance issues if a pool has the same staking and reward tokens.** 

Consider the following two scenarios.

- If an owner manages the reward token's balance incorrectly, not every staker would be able to successfully execute the unStake function because the total amount aggregated from the staking and reward tokens of that pool would be insufficient for all stakers.
- 2. An owner can withdraw all tokens from the pool via the *retrieveReward* function.

The root cause of this issue is that the staking token's amount could be viewed as the available tokens for retrieving as the rewards.

VucaStaking.sol		
236	function createPool(	
237	address _rewardToken,	
238	address _stakeToken,	
239	uint256 _maxStakeTokens,	
240	uint256 _startBlock,	
241	<pre>uint256 _endBlock,</pre>	
242	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>	
243	uint32 _updateDelay	
244	) external onlyOwner {	
245	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; 0 &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid start/end</pre>	
	block");	
246	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>	
247	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>	
248		



249	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>
250	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;</pre>
251	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>
252	
253	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;</pre>
254	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
255	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _endBlock;</pre>
256	
257	pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *
	<pre>(10**IERC20(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
258	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
259	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>
260	
261	PoolChanges memory changes;
262	
263	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], changes,</pre>
	<pre>block.number);</pre>
264	currentPoolId += 1;
265	}

Listing 9.1 The *createPool* function that permits to create a staking pool with the same staking and reward tokens

# **Recommendations**

We recommend updating the *createPool* function by adding a sanitization check like L248 in the code snippet below to ensure that each staking pool cannot have the same staking and reward tokens.

Vuca	Staking.sol
236	function createPool(
237	address _rewardToken,
238	address _stakeToken,
239	uint256 _maxStakeTokens,
240	uint256 _startBlock,
241	uint256 _endBlock,
242	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>
243	uint32 _updateDelay
244	) external onlyOwner {
245	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; 0 &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid start/end</pre>
	block");
246	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
247	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
248	<mark>require(_stake</mark> Token != _rewardToken, "Staking token cannot be the same
	as the reward token");
249	
250	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>
251	pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;



252	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>
253	
254	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;</pre>
255	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
256	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _endBlock;</pre>
257	
258	pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *
	(10**IERC20(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;
259	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
260	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>
261	
262	PoolChanges memory changes;
263	
264	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], changes,</pre>
	<pre>block.number);</pre>
265	currentPoolId += 1;
266	}

Listing 9.2 The improved createPool function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

#### Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team acknowledged this issue. Since using the same staking and reward tokens in a certain pool is a business requirement, the team decided to maintain the original code.



No. 10	Incorrectly Sharing Reward Token Balance Between Staking Pools		
Diale	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 150 - 177 (commit id: 5cc2e3f)		

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

The *unStake* function (code snippet 10.1) does not check and update the parameter *pool.extension.totalPoolRewards*.

Hence, the function can view the balance of other staking pools that utilize the same reward token as their staking and/or reward tokens as the reward balance of one particular pool.

As a result, an invocation of the *unStake* function on one staking pool can affect other pools' balance.

Let's consider the following exploitable scenario to understand this issue.

- 1. Pool-A is created using CROWN as a staking token and USDT as a reward token.
- 2. Pool-B is created using USDT as a staking token and CROWN as a reward token.
- 3. User-A stakes 50 CROWN to Pool-A.
- 4. User-B stakes 50 USDT to Pool-B.
- 5. Owner deposits 200 CROWN as a pool reward for Pool-B.
- 6. Both pools reach their staking period.
- 7. User-A unstakes his 50 CROWN from Pool-A and retrieves 10 USDT as a staking reward.

In this step, 10 USDT staked by User-B for Pool-B (Step 4) has been withdrawn incorrectly. The *unstake* function did not check and update the *totalPoolRewards parameter*.



8. User-B unstakes his 50 USDT from Pool-B to get 100 CROWN as a staking reward but the transaction is reverted.

Since the total balance of USDT in the *VucaStaking* contract is now 40, not 50 (10 USDT was withdrawn by User-A in Step 7), User-B cannot unstake his 50 USDT tokens even if an owner had already deposited sufficient CROWN tokens as a reward in Step 5.

Please note that the scenario described above is one of several exploitable scenarios.

#### VucaStaking.sol 150 function unStake(uint16 \_poolId) external { 151 updatePoolInfo( poolId); 152 Pool storage pool = pools[\_poolId]; 153 require(pool.endBlock < block.number, "Staking active");</pre> 154 155 Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[\_poolId][msg.sender]; 156 uint256 amount = staking.amount; 157 require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds"); 158 159 \_updatePoolRewards(\_poolId, block.number); 160 uint256 rewards = getRewards(\_poolId, msg.sender); 161 162 // Update pool 163 pool.tokensStaked -= amount; 164 emit StakingChanged("StakingChanged", msg.sender, \_poolId, pool, staking); 165 166 167 // Update staker staking.accumulatedRewards = 0; 168 169 staking.minusRewards = 0; 170 staking.amount = 0; 171 172 // Pay rewards 173 IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransfer(msg.sender, rewards); 174 // Withdraw tokens 175 176 IERC20(pool.stakeToken).safeTransfer(address(msg.sender), amount); 177 }

Listing 10.1 The *unStake* function that does not check and update the parameter *pool.extension.totalPoolRewards* 

# Recommendations

We recommend reworking the *unStake* function to check and update the parameter *pool.extension.totalPoolRewards* accordingly and making sure that each staking pool's balance is separated apart.



Please be sure to perform the proper unit testing on all possible edge cases to ensure that all related functions will correctly perform as per your staking model.

#### Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team fixed this issue by reworking the *createPool* function (L191 in the code snippet below) to allow the creation of only one staking pool for each *VucaStaking* contract.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol				
179	function createPool(				
180	address _rewardToken,				
181	address _stakeToken,				
182	<pre>uint256 _maxStakeTokens,</pre>				
183	<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>				
184	<pre>uint256 _endBlock,</pre>				
185	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>				
186	uint32 _updateDelay				
187	) external onlyOwner {				
188	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; block.number &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid</pre>				
	<pre>start/end block");</pre>				
189	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>				
190	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid staking token");</pre>				
191	<pre>require(currentPoolId == 0, "Staking pool was already created");</pre>				
192					
193	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>				
194	pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;				
195	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>				
196					
197	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;</pre>				
198	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;</pre>				
199	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _endBlock;</pre>				
200					
201	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *</pre>				
202	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>				
202	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock; pools[currentPoolId].undetsDalausdtableus(/0_hourse)</pre>				
203	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>				
204 205	<pre>omit Deal(neated(1_currentDealId_nealc[currentDealId]_black_number);</pre>				
	<pre>emit PoolCreated(1, currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], block.number); currentPoolId += 1;</pre>				
206 207	·				
207	}				

Listing 10.2 The *createPool* function that allows the creation of only one staking pool



No. 11	Improperly Updating Staking Pool Parameters		
Diale	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 150 - 177 and 294 - 317 (commit id: 5cc2e3f)		

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

We discovered that the unStake and retrieveReward functions do not properly update important parameters.

For the *unStake* function (code snippet 11.1), we found that the function does not update the parameters *pool.rewardsWithdrew* and *pool.extension.totalPoolRewards*.

For the *retrieveReward* function (code snippet 11.2), we found that the function does not update the parameters *pool.extension.noAddressRewards* and *pool.extension.totalPoolRewards*.

#### Without updating these parameters properly, staking pools could not separate their reward balance.

Please refer to Issues #2 (Depending On Incorrect Reward Token Balance #1), #8 (Incorrect Logic Design Of Globally Shared Pool Of Funds), and #13 (Possibly Stealing All Pools' Staking and Reward Tokens) for more details.

#### VucaStaking.sol 150 function unStake(uint16 \_poolId) external { 151 \_updatePoolInfo(\_poolId); 152 Pool storage pool = pools[\_poolId]; 153 require(pool.endBlock < block.number, "Staking active");</pre> 154 155 Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[\_poolId][msg.sender]; 156 uint256 amount = staking.amount; 157 require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds"); 158 159 \_updatePoolRewards(\_poolId, block.number); 160 uint256 rewards = getRewards(\_poolId, msg.sender); 161



// Update pool
<pre>pool.tokensStaked -= amount;</pre>
<pre>emit StakingChanged("StakingChanged", msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);</pre>
// Update staker
<pre>staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;</pre>
<pre>staking.minusRewards = 0;</pre>
<pre>staking.amount = 0;</pre>
// Pay rewards
<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransfer(msg.sender, rewards);</pre>
// Withdraw tokens
<pre>IERC20(pool.stakeToken).safeTransfer(address(msg.sender), amount);</pre>
}

Listing 11.1 The unStake function

# VucaStaking.sol

294	<pre>function retrieveReward(uint16 _poolId, address _to) external onlyOwner {</pre>		
295	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);		
296	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>		
297	<pre>require(pool.endBlock &lt; block.number, "Staking active");</pre>		
298			
299	_updatePoolRewards(_poolId, <pre>block.number);</pre>		
300	<pre>pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>		
301			
302	<pre>uint256 totalPoolRewards = pool.extension.totalPoolRewards;</pre>		
303	<pre>uint256 noAddressRewards = pool.extension.noAddressRewards;</pre>		
304	<pre>uint256 rewardsWithdrew = pool.extension.rewardsWithdrew;</pre>		
305			
306	<pre>uint256 totalUserRewards = pool.extension.totalUserRewards /</pre>		
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>		
307			
308	<pre>require(totalPoolRewards + noAddressRewards &gt; totalUserRewards +</pre>		
	<pre>rewardsWithdrew, "Insufficient pool rewards");</pre>		
309			
310	<pre>uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards + noAddressRewards - totalUserRewards -</pre>		
244	rewardsWithdrew;		
311			
312	<pre>pool.extension.rewardsWithdrew += amount;</pre>		
313	amit DevendeDetminyed('DevendeDetminyed' meelTd emeymt);		
314	<pre>emit RewardsRetrieved('RewardsRetrieved', _poolId, amount);</pre>		
315 216	TEP(2)(nool noupdTokon) cofeTransfor( to prount);		
316	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransfer(_to, amount);</pre>		
317	}		

Listing 11.2 The retrieveReward function



### **Recommendations**

We recommend updating all associated parameters (and also all related functions) by making sure that each staking pool's balance would be isolated from others.

Moreover, we do not assure that the formulas in L308 and L310 in code snippet 11.2 above would function correctly after the associated parameters are updated. Thus, please double-check these formulas thoroughly.

Please be sure to perform the proper unit testing on all possible edge cases to ensure that all related functions would correctly perform as per your staking model.

#### Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team fixed this issue by reworking the *createPool* function (L191 in the code snippet below) to **allow the creation of only one staking pool for each** *VucaStaking* **contract**.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol				
179	function createPool(				
180	address _rewardToken,				
181	address _stakeToken,				
182	uint256 _maxStakeTokens,				
183	<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>				
184	<pre>uint256 _endBlock,</pre>				
185	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>				
186	uint32 _updateDelay				
187	) external onlyOwner {				
188	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; block.number &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid</pre>				
	<pre>start/end block");</pre>				
189	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>				
190	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid staking token");</pre>				
191	<pre>require(currentPoolId == 0, "Staking pool was already created");</pre>				
192					
193	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>				
194	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;</pre>				
195 196	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>				
196	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = maxStakeTokens;</pre>				
197	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock =maxStakeTokens;</pre>				
199	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _startblock;</pre>				
200	poors[currence oorru].enubroek = _enubroek,				
200	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = rewardTokensPerBlock *</pre>				
201	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>				
202	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>				
203	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>				
204					
205	<pre>emit PoolCreated(1, currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], block.number);</pre>				



206 curren 207 }

currentPoolId += 1;

Listing 11.3 The *createPool* function that allows the creation of only one staking pool



No. 12	Incorrectly Applying Pool Changes		
	High	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 229 - 247, 249 - 269, and 272 - 291 (commit id: 5cc2e3f)		

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

The following functions execute the \_updatePoolInfo function incorrectly.

- updateMaxStakeTokens function (L229 247 in VucaStaking.sol)
- updateRewardTokensPerBlock function (L249 269 in VucaStaking.sol)
- updateEndBlock function (L272 291 in VucaStaking.sol)

In code snippet 12.1, the *updateMaxStakeTokens* function executes the *\_updatePoolInfo* function in L233 after checking the active block (the *require* statement in L231).

We detected that the **endBlock** parameter could be updated during the invocation of the \_updatePoolInfo function in L233. If so, the **require** statement in L231 would not process the updated **endBlock** parameter, leading to the state inconsistency issue.

VucaStaking.sol				
229	<pre>function updateMaxStakeTokens(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _maxStakeTokens) external</pre>			
	onlyOwner {			
230	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>			
231	<pre>require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay &lt; pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>			
	"Exceed Blocks");			
232				
233	<pre>_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);</pre>			
234				
235	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].extension.currentPoolChangeId + 10 &gt;</pre>			
	<pre>poolsChanges[_poolId].length, "Exceed pending changes");</pre>			
236				



237	<pre>PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges({</pre>
238	applied: false, //
239	updateParamId: UpdateParam.MaxStakeTokens,
240	updateParamValue: _maxStakeTokens,
241	<pre>timestamp: block.timestamp,</pre>
242	blockNumber: block.number
243	});
244	<pre>poolsChanges[_poolId].push(changes);</pre>
245	
246	<pre>emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", _poolId, pools[_poolId], changes,</pre>
	<pre>block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay);</pre>
247	}

Listing 12.1 The *updateMaxStakeTokens*, one of the functions that execute the *\_updatePoolInfo* function incorrectly

#### Recommendations

We recommend updating the functions updateMaxStakeTokens, updateRewardTokensPerBlock, and updateEndBlock.

In code snippet 12.2, for example, the *updateMaxStakeTokens* function was updated **by executing the** *\_updatePoolInfo* function in L231 before checking the active block in L233.

```
VucaStaking.sol
229
     function updateMaxStakeTokens(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _maxStakeTokens) external
     onlyOwner {
230
         require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");
231
         updatePoolInfo( poolId);
232
233
         require(block.number + pools[_poolId].updateDelay < pools[_poolId].endBlock,</pre>
     "Exceed Blocks");
234
235
         require(pools[_poolId].extension.currentPoolChangeId + 10 >
     poolsChanges[_poolId].length, "Exceed pending changes");
236
237
         PoolChanges memory changes = PoolChanges({
238
             applied: false, //
239
             updateParamId: UpdateParam.MaxStakeTokens,
240
             updateParamValue: _maxStakeTokens,
241
             timestamp: block.timestamp,
242
             blockNumber: block.number
243
         });
244
         poolsChanges[_poolId].push(changes);
245
246
         emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", _poolId, pools[_poolId], changes,
     block.number + pools[ poolId].updateDelay);
```



# 247 }

Listing 12.2 The improved updateMaxStakeTokens function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

#### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue according to our suggestion.



No. 13	Possibly Stealing All Pools' Staking and Reward Tokens		
Diale	Medium	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 312 - 332 and 335 - 346		

We discovered that both functions *retrieveReward* (code snippet 13.1) and *withdrawERC20* (code snippet 13.2) can enable an attacker with a compromised owner account to steal all staking and reward tokens from all staking pools.

Please find the root cause of each function as follows.

- retrieveReward function (Issue #2 Depending On Incorrect Reward Token Balance #1)
- withdrawERC20 function (Issue #1 Potentially Draining Pools' Reward Tokens)

Consider the following attack steps.

- 1. An attacker with a compromised owner account creates a dummy short-lived pool by setting the pool's reward token as the staking token of the target pool
- 2. The attacker creates another dummy short-lived pool and sets the pool's reward token as the reward token of the target pool
- 3. The attacker waits for both dummy pools to reach their staking period
- 4. The attacker executes either the *retrieveReward* or *withdrawERC20* function on both dummy pools to drain all staking and reward tokens from the target pool
- 5. The attacker **performs the attack steps #1 #4 on other staking pools** to drain all tokens locked in the *VucaStaking* contract



Vuca	Staking.sol
312	function retrieveReward(
313	<pre>uint16 _poolId,</pre>
314	address _to,
315	uint256 _amount
316	) external onlyOwner {
317	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
318	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
319	<pre>require(pool.endBlock &lt;= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>
320	
321	_updatePoolRewards(_poolId, <pre>block.number);</pre>
322	<pre>pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
323	
324	<pre>uint256 totalUserRewards = pool.totalUserRewards /</pre>
	(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;
325	<pre>uint256 rewardsWithdrew = pool.rewardsWithdrew /</pre>
	(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;
326	<pre>uint256 contractBalance = IERC20(pool.rewardToken).balanceOf(address(this));</pre>
327	
328	<pre>// maximum amount withdrawal = balance - max claimable</pre>
329	<pre>require(_amount + totalUserRewards &lt;= contractBalance + rewardsWithdrew);</pre>
330	
331	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(_to, _amount);</pre>
332	}

Listing 13.1 The retrieveReward function that could drain all reward tokens

VucaStaking.sol	
335	function withdrawERC20(
336	<pre>uint16 _poolId,</pre>
337	address _to,
338	uint256 _amount
339	) external onlyOwner {
340	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
341	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
342	<pre>require(pool.endBlock &lt;= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>
343	<pre>require(pool.tokensStaked == 0, "Not allowed");</pre>
344	
345	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(_to, _amount);</pre>
346	}

Listing 13.2 The withdrawERC20 function that could drain all reward tokens



### **Recommendations**

Since no recommended code or solution can fully fix this issue without breaking the contract's features, we recommend redesigning and reimplementing both *retrieveReward* and *withdrawERC20* functions and their related subsystems to track each pool's *staking* and *reward tokens* isolatedly.

Also, please refer to **Issues #1 (Potentially Draining Pools' Reward Tokens)** and **#2 (Depending On Incorrect Reward Token Balance #1)** for more details.

#### Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team fixed this issue by reworking the *createPool* function (L191 in the code snippet below) to **allow the creation of only one staking pool for each** *VucaStaking* **contract**.

Vuca	Staking.sol
179	function createPool(
180	address _rewardToken,
181	address _stakeToken,
182	<pre>uint256 _maxStakeTokens,</pre>
183	<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>
184	<pre>uint256 _endBlock,</pre>
185	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>
186	<pre>uint32 _updateDelay</pre>
187	) external onlyOwner {
188	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; block.number &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid</pre>
	<pre>start/end block");</pre>
189	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
190	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid staking token");</pre>
191	<pre>require(currentPoolId == 0, "Staking pool was already created");</pre>
192	
193	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>
194	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;</pre>
195	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>
196	
197	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;</pre>
198	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
199	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _endBlock;</pre>
200 201	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = rewardTokensPerBlock *</pre>
201	(10**IERC20Helper( stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS PRECISION;
202	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
202	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>
205	poors[currence oorru].upuaceberay = _upuaceberay; // = o nours;
204	<pre>emit PoolCreated(1, currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], block.number);</pre>
205	currentPoolId += 1;
207	}



Listing 13.3 The *createPool* function that allows the creation of only one staking pool



No. 14	Incorrect Calculation Of Withdrawable Pool Rewards #1		
Diak	Medium	Likelihood	High
Risk		Impact	Low
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 81 - 111, 114 - 125, and 211 - 233		

We found an incorrect calculation of the withdrawable pool rewards when invoking the *getRewardsWithdrawable* function (code snippet 14.1).

Specifically, the *getRewardsWithdrawable* function would ask for the *pool* object with up-to-date parameters (L115 in code snippet 14.1) from the *getLatestPoolInfo* function (code snippet 14.2). At this point, **we noticed** that the *getLatestPoolInfo* function could return the *pool* object with incorrect parameters.

Consequently, the incorrect *pool*'s parameters would eventually make the calculation of the pool rewards withdrawable (L122 - 124 in code snippet 14.1) returned by the *getRewardsWithdrawable* function to be incorrect.

#### VucaStaking.sol

114	<pre>function getRewardsWithdrawable(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (uint256) {</pre>
115	<pre>Pool memory pool = getLatestPoolInfo(_poolId);</pre>
116	
117	<pre>uint256 contractBalance = IERC20(pool.rewardToken).balanceOf(address(this));</pre>
118	<pre>if (pool.endBlock &gt; block.number    contractBalance == 0) {</pre>
119	return 0;
120	}
121	
122	uint256 totalUserRewards = pool.totalUserRewards /
	(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;
123	uint256 rewardsWithdrew = pool.rewardsWithdrew /
	(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;
124	<pre>return contractBalance + rewardsWithdrew - totalUserRewards;</pre>
125	}

Listing 14.1 The *getRewardsWithdrawable* function that incorrectly calculates the withdrawable pool rewards



# The root cause of this issue is that the *getLatestPoolInfo* function mistakenly applies *pool changes* in memory (L98 - 103 and L107 - 108 in code snippet 14.2), instead of the contract storage.

As a result, when the *getLatestPoolInfo* function executes the *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function (L98 and L107 in code snippet 14.2), the *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function would return incorrectly computed parameters *pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare*, *pool.lastRewardedBlock*, and *rewards*.

That is because the *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function would load the *pool* object from the contract storage (L220 in code snippet 14.3), which is a different state variable section to the one updated in the memory of the *getLatestPoolInfo* function.

In other words, the *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function would always load the outdated *pool* object from the contract storage, leading to the incorrect calculation of the returned parameters *pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare*, *pool.lastRewardedBlock*, and *rewards* (L222 - 232 in code snippet 14.3).

#### VucaStaking.sol

```
81
     function getLatestPoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (Pool memory) {
 82
         Pool memory pool = pools[ poolId];
 83
 84
         uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;
 85
         for (uint256 i; i < size; i++) {</pre>
             PoolChanges memory changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];
 86
 87
 88
             if (changes.applied) {
 89
                 continue;
 90
             }
 91
 92
             uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;
 93
             if (!(pool.endBlock > updateAtBlock && block.number >= updateAtBlock)) {
 94
                 continue;
 95
             }
 96
 97
             uint256 rewards;
             (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, rewards) =
 98
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, updateAtBlock);
 99
             pool.totalUserRewards += rewards;
100
101
             pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens;
102
             pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock;
103
             pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock;
104
         }
105
106
         uint256 _rewards;
         (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, rewards) =
107
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, block.number);
108
         pool.totalUserRewards += _rewards;
```



return pool;

Listing 14.2 The *getLatestPoolInfo* function that mistakenly applies *pool changes* in memory

Vuca	Staking.sol
211	<pre>function getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _blockNumber)</pre>
212	public
213	view
214	returns (
215	uint256 accumulatedRewardsPerShare,
216	uint256 lastRewardedBlock,
217	uint256 rewards
218	)
	{
220	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
221	
222	<pre>uint256 floorBlock = _blockNumber &lt;= pool.endBlock ? _blockNumber :</pre>
222	<pre>pool.endBlock;</pre>
223 224	wint2E6 blocksEincelastBournde
224 225	uint256 blocksSinceLastReward; if (floorBlock >= <mark>pool.lastRewardedBlock</mark> ) {
225	blocksSinceLastReward = floorBlock - pool.lastRewardedBlock;
220	}
228	rewards = blocksSinceLastReward * <pre>pool.rewardTokensPerBlock;</pre>
229	if (pool.tokensStaked > 0) {
230	accumulatedRewardsPerShare = pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare + (rewards
	<pre>/ pool.tokensStaked);</pre>
231	}
232	lastRewardedBlock = floorBlock;
233	}

Listing 14.3 The *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function that loads the outdated *pool* object from the contract storage

#### **Recommendations**

Since no recommended code or solution can fully fix this issue without breaking the contract's features, we recommend redesigning and reimplementing the associated functions **by ensuring that the functions must refer to the** *same state variable section*.



#### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team redesigned and reimplemented a new rewarding subsystem.

As a result, the *getRewardsWithdrawable*, *getLatestPoolInfo*, and *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* functions were removed from the *VucaStaking* contract. Hence, this issue was fixed.



No. 15	Depending On Incorrect Reward Token Balance #2		
Dial	Medium	Likelihood	High
Risk		Impact	Low
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	Associated Files contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 114 - 125		

We detected that the *getRewardsWithdrawable* function depends on the incorrect reward token balance (L117 in the code snippet below), **leading to the return of an incorrect maximum withdrawable reward for the specified pool.** 

Consider the following two scenarios to exploit the issue.

1. If the staking token (*pool.stakeToken*) is the same as the reward token (*pool.rewardToken*) for a pool, and then there are some stakings from users and the staking period of that pool is ended.

Then the *if* statement (L118 - 120) would be bypassed (*without concerning that the balance of funds could also be the staked tokens*).

2. If the reward token (*pool.rewardToken*) of one pool is the same token utilized by another pool that is using the token as a staking or reward token.

#### Then the *if* statement (L118 - 120) would be bypassed.

From the exploit scenarios above, if one condition is met, the *if* statement (L118 - 120) would be bypassed. Later, the *getRewardsWithdrawable* function would return an incorrect maximum withdrawable reward for the specified pool as the returned reward amount could be the shared funds from multiple pools (or even the same pool with the same staking and reward tokens).



VucaStaking.sol		
114	<pre>function getRewardsWithdrawable(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (uint256) {</pre>	
115	<pre>Pool memory pool = getLatestPoolInfo(_poolId);</pre>	
116		
117	<pre>uint256 contractBalance = IERC20(pool.rewardToken).balanceOf(address(this));</pre>	
118	<pre>if (pool.endBlock &gt; block.number    contractBalance == 0) {</pre>	
119	return 0;	
120	<mark>}</mark>	
121		
122	<pre>uint256 totalUserRewards = pool.totalUserRewards /</pre>	
	<pre>(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>	
123	<pre>uint256 rewardsWithdrew = pool.rewardsWithdrew /</pre>	
	<pre>(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>	
124	<pre>return contractBalance + rewardsWithdrew - totalUserRewards;</pre>	
125	}	

Listing 15.1 The *getRewardsWithdrawable* function that depends on the incorrect reward token balance

Moreover, we also discovered that the formula for calculating the returned reward is incorrect due to improperly relying on the reward token balance (L124).

Consider the formula being used by the getRewardsWithdrawable function (L124).

#### rewardsWithdrawable = contractBalance + rewardsWithdrew - totalUserRewards

As the *contractBalance* (the reward token balance) could indicate the total balance aggregated from multiple pools, the use of this incorrect balance could result in an incorrectly returned reward.

For example, if *contractBalance* = 100 (aggregated from multiple pools), *rewardsWithdrew* = 50 (for the specified pool), *totalUserRewards* = 80 (for the specified pool). Then the *rewardsWithdrawable* could be computed as **100 + 50 - 80 = 70 (not 30)**.

# Recommendations

Since no recommended code or solution can fully fix this issue without breaking the contract's features, we recommend redesigning and reimplementing the new rewarding subsystem to track each pool's *staking* and *reward tokens* separately.

#### Reassessment

The *getRewardsWithdrawable* function was removed from the *VucaStaking* contract. Hence, this issue was fixed.



No. 16	Lack Of Guaranteeing Pool State Consistency		
Diale	Medium	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 162 - 164 and 195 - 197		

We noticed that the functions *emergencyWithdraw* (L162 - 164) and *unStake* (L195 - 197) in the code snippet below might not maintain or guarantee the pool's state consistency.

More specifically, in case the *pool.tokensStaked* < *amount*, the pool's *tokensStaked* parameter would not be updated, leading to a state inconsistency issue to the pool.

```
VucaStaking.sol
153
     function emergencyWithdraw(uint16 poolId) external {
154
         updatePoolInfo( poolId);
155
         Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];
156
         Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];
157
         uint256 amount = staking.amount;
158
         require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds");
159
160
         _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);
161
         // Update pool
162
         if (pool.tokensStaked >= amount) {
163
             pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
164
         }
165
166
         staking.amount = 0;
167
168
         // Withdraw tokens
169
         IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);
170
         emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);
171
172
173
         // Update staker
174
         staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;
175
         staking.minusRewards = 0;
176
     }
```



```
// (...SNIPPED...)
179
     function unStake(uint16 _poolId) external {
180
         _updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
181
         Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];
182
         require(pool.endBlock <= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>
183
184
         Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];
185
         uint256 amount = staking.amount;
186
         require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds");
187
         _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);
188
189
         // Pay rewards
190
         uint256 rewards = getRewards(_poolId, msg.sender);
191
         IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(msg.sender, rewards);
192
193
         // Update pool
194
         pool.rewardsWithdrew += getRawRewards( poolId, msg.sender);
195
         if (pool.tokensStaked >= amount) {
196
             pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
         }
197
198
199
         // Withdraw tokens
         IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);
200
201
202
         emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);
203
204
         // Update staker
205
         staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;
206
         staking.minusRewards = 0;
         staking.amount = 0;
207
208
     }
```

Listing 16.1 The emergencyWithdraw and unStake functions



# Recommendations

We recommend updating both the functions **emergencyWithdraw** (L162) and **unStake** (L193) as presented in the code snippet below. In other words, both functions would revert transactions if the **pool.tokensStaked** < **amount** (incurring state inconsistency).

```
VucaStaking.sol
153
     function emergencyWithdraw(uint16 _poolId) external {
         // (...SNIPPED...)
162
         pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
         // (...SNIPPED...)
174
     }
     // (...SNIPPED...)
177
     function unStake(uint16 _poolId) external {
         // (...SNIPPED...)
193
         pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
         // (...SNIPPED...)
204
     }
```

Listing 16.2 The improved emergencyWithdraw and unStake functions

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

# Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue as per our recommendation.



No. 17	Usage Of Unsafe Token Transfer Functions		
Diale	Medium	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 149, 169, 191, 200, 331, and 345		

We found some usage of the *ERC20*'s *transfer* and *transferFrom* functions that are providing unsafe token transfers (e.g., the *transfer* function in L169 in the code snippet below) as follows.

- 1. In the stake function (L149 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 2. In the emergencyWithdraw function (L169 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 3. In the *unStake* function (*L191* and *L200* in VucaStaking.sol)
- 4. In the *retrieveReward* function (L331 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 5. In the withdrawERC20 function (L345 in VucaStaking.sol)

The use of unsafe functions could lead to unexpected token transfer errors.

#### VucaStaking.sol

```
153
     function emergencyWithdraw(uint16 _poolId) external {
154
         _updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
155
         Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];
156
         Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];
157
         uint256 amount = staking.amount;
158
         require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds");
159
160
         _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);
161
         // Update pool
162
         if (pool.tokensStaked >= amount) {
163
           pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
164
         }
165
166
         staking.amount = 0;
```



167	
168	// Withdraw tokens
169	<pre>IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);</pre>
170	
171	<pre>emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);</pre>
172	
173	// Update staker
174	<pre>staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;</pre>
175	<pre>staking.minusRewards = 0;</pre>
176	}

Listing 17.1 The *emergencyWithdraw*, one of the functions that use an unsafe *transfer* function

# Recommendations

We recommend applying the safer functions as follows.

- ERC20's transfer function -> SafeERC20's safeTransfer function
- ERC20's transferFrom function -> SafeERC20's safeTransferFrom function

# Reassessment

This issue was fixed by employing the *safeTransfer* and *safeTransferFrom* functions according to our recommendation.


No. 18	Removal Recommendation For Mock Function		
Risk	Medium	Likelihood	Low
		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use Status Fixed		Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 302 - 309		

We found the mock function named *updateChangesDelayBlocks* (the code snippet below) that should not be put in production. This mock function allows an owner to update the *updateDelay* parameter (L305) of any staking pools which could conflict with the protocol design.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol				
301	/* @Dev only, remove in prod */				
302	<pre>function updateChangesDelayBlocks(uint16 _poolId, uint32 _blocks) external</pre>				
	onlyOwner {				
303	<pre>require(pools[_poolId].inited, "Invalid Pool");</pre>				
304					
305	<pre>pools[_poolId].updateDelay = _blocks;</pre>				
306	PoolChanges memory changes;				
307					
308	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(_poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number);</pre>				
309	}				

Listing 18.1 The mock function updateChangesDelayBlocks

## **Recommendations**

We recommend removing the mock function updateChangesDelayBlocks from the VucaStaking contract.

### Reassessment

The mock function updateChangesDelayBlocks was removed from the VucaStaking contract to fix this issue.



No. 19	Possibly Permanent Ownership Removal		
Risk	Medium	Likelihood	Low
		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use Status Fixed		Fixed
Associated Files	@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol		
Locations	Ownable.sol L: 61 - 63		

The *CrownToken* and *VucaStaking* contracts inherit from the *Ownable* abstract contract. The *Ownable* contract implements the *renounceOwnership* function (L61 - 63 in the code snippet below), which can remove the contract's ownership permanently.

If the contract owner mistakenly invokes the *renounceOwnership* function, they will immediately lose ownership of the contract, and this action cannot be undone.

Ownable.sol				
61 62 63	<pre>function renounceOwnership() public virtual onlyOwner {     _transferOwnership(address(0)); }</pre>			
	// (SNIPPED)			
78	<pre>function _transferOwnership(address newOwner) internal virtual {</pre>			
79	<pre>address oldOwner = _owner;</pre>			
80	_owner = newOwner;			
81	<pre>emit OwnershipTransferred(oldOwner, newOwner);</pre>			
82	}			

Listing 19.1 The *renounceOwnership* function that can remove the ownership of the contract permanently



# **Recommendations**

We consider the *renounceOwnership* function risky, and the contract owner should use this function with extra care.

If possible, we recommend removing or disabling this function from the contract. The code snippet below shows an example solution to disabling the associated *renounceOwnership* function.

To remediate this issue, please apply the following code to both the *CrownToken* and *VucaStaking* contracts.

CrownToken.sol				
16	<pre>function renounceOwnership() external override onlyOwner {</pre>			
17	<pre>revert("Ownable: renounceOwnership function is disabled");</pre>			
18	}			

Listing 19.2 The disabled *renounceOwnership* function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue by disabling the *renounceOwnership* function on the *VucaStaking* contract. For the *CrownToken* contract, the team decided to remove the inheritance from the associated *Ownable* contract.



No. 20	Unsafe Ownership Transfer		
Risk	Medium	Likelihood	Low
		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use Status Fixed		Fixed
Associated Files	@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol		
Locations	Ownable.sol L: 69 - 72		

The *CrownToken* and *VucaStaking* contracts inherit from the *Ownable* abstract contract. The *Ownable* contract implements the *transferOwnership* function (L69 - 72 in the code snippet below), which can transfer the ownership of the contract from the current owner to another owner.

Ownable.sol			
69 70 71 72	<pre>function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public virtual onlyOwner {     require(newOwner != address(0), "Ownable: new owner is the zero address");     _transferOwnership(newOwner); }</pre>		
	// (SNIPPED)		
78 79 80 81 82	<pre>function _transferOwnership(address newOwner) internal virtual {     address oldOwner = _owner;     _owner = newOwner;     emit OwnershipTransferred(oldOwner, newOwner); }</pre>		

Listing 20.1 The transferOwnership function that has the unsafe ownership transfer

From the code snippet above, the address variable *newOwner* (L69) may be incorrectly specified by the current owner by mistake; for example, an address that a new owner does not own was inputted. Consequently, the new owner loses ownership of the contract immediately, and this action is unrecoverable.



# Recommendations

We recommend applying the two-step ownership transfer mechanism as shown in the code snippet below.

Crow	nToken.sol
16	<pre>function transferOwnership(address _candidateOwner) public override onlyOwner {</pre>
17	<pre>require(_candidateOwner != address(0), "Ownable: candidate owner is the zero</pre>
	address");
18	<pre>candidateOwner = _candidateOwner;</pre>
19	<pre>emit NewCandidateOwner(_candidateOwner);</pre>
20	}
21	
22	<pre>function claimOwnership() external {</pre>
23	<pre>require(candidateOwner == _msgSender(), "Ownable: caller is not the</pre>
	candidate owner");
24	<pre>_transferOwnership(candidateOwner);</pre>
25	<pre>candidateOwner = address(0);</pre>
26	}

Listing 20.2 The recommended two-step ownership transfer mechanism

This mechanism works as follows.

- 1. The current owner invokes the *transferOwnership* function by specifying the candidate owner address \_*candidateOwner* (L16).
- 2. The candidate owner proves access to his account and claims the ownership transfer by invoking the *claimOwnership* function (L22)

The recommended mechanism ensures that the ownership of the contract would be transferred to another owner who can access his account only.

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

To remediate this issue, please apply the above code to both the *CrownToken* and *VucaStaking* contracts.

### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue by applying the two-step ownership transfer mechanism to the VucaStaking contract as per our suggestion. For the CrownToken contract, the team decided to remove the inheritance from the associated Ownable contract.



No. 21	Recommended Improving Transparency And Trustworthiness Of Privileged Operations		
Risk	Medium	Likelihood	Low
		Impact	High
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Acknowledged
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol @openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 236 - 265, 267 - 275, 277 - 287, 290 - 299, 302 - 309, 312 - 332, and 335 - 346 Ownable.sol L: 61 - 63 and 69 - 72		

The following lists all owner-privileged setter functions.

- 1. createPool function (L236 265 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 2. updateMaxStakeTokens function (L267 275 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 3. updateRewardTokensPerBlock function (L277 287 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 4. updateEndBlock function (L290 299 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 5. updateChangesDelayBlocks function (L302 309 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 6. retrieveReward function (L312 332 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 7. withdrawERC20 function (L335 346 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 8. *renounceOwnership* function (L61 63 in *Ownable.sol* for both *CrownToken* and *VucaStaking* contracts)
- 9. *transferOwnership* function (L69 72 in *Ownable.sol* for both *CrownToken* and *VucaStaking* contracts)

Our analysis found that the setter functions listed above can change important states of the *CrownToken* and/or *VucaStaking* contracts which could affect the users' assets.

For this reason, we consider that those *setter functions* should be improved for transparency and trustworthiness.



# **Recommendations**

We recommend governing the associated setter functions with the *Multisig*, *Timelock*, and/or *DAO* (*Decentralized Autonomous Organization*) mechanisms to improve the transparency and trustworthiness of the privileged operations.

#### Reassessment

This issue was acknowledged by the Vega Investment Group team.



No. 22	Users Can Mistakenly Transfer Reward Tokens To Staking Pools		
Risk	Medium	Likelihood	Medium
		Impact	Medium
Functionality is in use	In use Status Acknowledged		Acknowledged
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 209 - 227 (commit id: 5cc2e3f)		

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

We noticed that **the** *depositPoolReward* **function** (code snippet 22.1) allows anyone to execute it to transfer reward tokens to a specific pool.

If a user calls this function by mistake, a user would lose his/her funds immediately.

Vuca	Staking.sol
209	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId) public {</pre>
210	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
211	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>
212	
213	<pre>uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock /</pre>
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
214	<pre>uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock * (pool.endBlock -</pre>
	<pre>pool.startBlock + 1);</pre>
215	
216	<pre>require(totalPoolRewards &gt; pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already</pre>
	<pre>deposited");</pre>
217	
218	<pre>uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards;</pre>
219	
220	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>
221	amount);
221	neel extension totalDeelDewands - totalDeelDewands
222 223	<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards;</pre>
223	PoolChanges memory changes;
224	routchanges memory changes,
225	<pre>emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", currentPoolId, pools[_poolId], changes,</pre>
-226	emit Pooropuated ( Pooropuated , currentPoorrd, poors[_poord], changes,



block.number);
227 }

Listing 22.1 The depositPoolReward function that allows anyone to call it

#### **Recommendations**

We recommend **applying the onlyOwner modifier** to the *depositPoolReward* function (L209 in code snippet 22.2).

VucaStaking.sol 209 function depositPoolReward(uint16 \_poolId) public onlyOwner { 210 Pool storage pool = pools[ poolId]; 211 require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid"); 212 uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock / 213 (10\*\*IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS PRECISION; 214 uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock \* (pool.endBlock pool.startBlock + 1); 215 require(totalPoolRewards > pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already 216 deposited"); 217 218 uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards; 219 220 IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), amount); 221 222 pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards; 223 PoolChanges memory changes; 224 225 226 emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", currentPoolId, pools[\_poolId], changes, block.number); 227 }

Listing 22.2 The improved *depositPoolReward* function that allows only a contract owner to call it

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

#### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team acknowledged this issue. However, the team decided not to fix this issue as applying the onlyOwner modifier would remove their flexibility.



No. 23	Incorrect Calculation Of Withdrawable Pool Rewards #2		
Risk	Low	Likelihood	Medium
		Impact	Low
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 81 - 111, 114 - 125, and 211 - 233		

We discovered an incorrect calculation of the withdrawable pool rewards when invoking the *getRewardsWithdrawable* function (code snippet 23.1).

Specifically, the *getRewardsWithdrawable* function would ask for the *pool* object with up-to-date parameters (L115 in code snippet 23.1) from the *getLatestPoolInfo* function (code snippet 23.2). At this point, **we noticed** that the *getLatestPoolInfo* function could return the *pool* object with the inaccurate parameter *totalUserRewards*.

Subsequently, the inaccurate parameter *totalUserRewards* would eventually make the calculation of the pool rewards withdrawable (L122 and L124 in code snippet 23.1) returned by the *getRewardsWithdrawable* function to be incorrect.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol		
114	<pre>function getRewardsWithdrawable(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (uint256) {</pre>		
115	<pre>Pool memory pool = getLatestPoolInfo(_poolId);</pre>		
116			
117	<pre>uint256 contractBalance = IERC20(pool.rewardToken).balanceOf(address(this));</pre>		
118	<pre>if (pool.endBlock &gt; block.number    contractBalance == 0) {</pre>		
119	return 0;		
120	}		
121			
122	uint256 <mark>totalUserRewards</mark> = pool.totalUserRewards /		
	<pre>(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>		
123	<pre>uint256 rewardsWithdrew = pool.rewardsWithdrew /</pre>		
	<pre>(10**IERC20(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>		
124	<pre>return contractBalance + rewardsWithdrew - totalUserRewards;</pre>		
125	}		

Listing 23.1 The *getRewardsWithdrawable* function that incorrectly calculates the withdrawable pool rewards



In the *getLatestPoolInfo* function, we noticed that if the parameter *rewards* returned by the *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function (L98 and L107 in code snippet 23.2) is inaccurate, the inaccurate *rewards* would make the *pool's totalUserRewards* (L99 and L108) inaccurate as well.

Next, we found that the getPoolRewardsCheckpoint function would inaccurately compute the rewards parameter (L228 in code snippet 23.3) if there is no staking at the moment of computation (pool.tokensStaked == 0).

One example situation that could trigger this issue is when a staking pool is active but there is no staking yet. The *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function would return the parameter *rewards* with a positive value, which is incorrect. That is, the parameter *rewards* should ideally be 0 in that case.

#### VucaStaking.sol

```
81
     function getLatestPoolInfo(uint16 _poolId) public view returns (Pool memory) {
 82
         Pool memory pool = pools[ poolId];
 83
 84
         uint256 size = poolsChanges[_poolId].length;
 85
         for (uint256 i; i < size; i++) {</pre>
 86
             PoolChanges memory changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];
 87
 88
             if (changes.applied) {
 89
                 continue;
 90
             }
 91
 92
             uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;
 93
             if (!(pool.endBlock > updateAtBlock && block.number >= updateAtBlock)) {
 94
                 continue;
 95
             }
 96
 97
             uint256 rewards;
 98
             (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, rewards) =
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, updateAtBlock);
 99
             pool.totalUserRewards += rewards;
100
101
             pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.maxStakeTokens;
102
             pool.endBlock = changes.endBlock;
103
             pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.rewardTokensPerBlock;
104
         }
105
106
         uint256 rewards;
107
         (pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare, pool.lastRewardedBlock, _rewards) =
     getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(_poolId, block.number);
108
         pool.totalUserRewards += _rewards;
109
110
         return pool;
111
     }
```



Listing 23.2 The *getLatestPoolInfo* function that incorrectly calculates the staking pool's *totalUserRewards* parameter

Vuca	Staking.sol
211	<pre>function getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _blockNumber)</pre>
212	public
213	view
214	returns (
215	<pre>uint256 accumulatedRewardsPerShare,</pre>
216	uint256 lastRewardedBlock,
217	uint256 rewards
218	)
219	{
220	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
221	
222	<pre>uint256 floorBlock = _blockNumber &lt;= pool.endBlock ? _blockNumber :</pre>
	pool.endBlock;
223	
224	<pre>uint256 blocksSinceLastReward;</pre>
225	<pre>if (floorBlock &gt;= pool.lastRewardedBlock) {</pre>
226	<pre>blocksSinceLastReward = floorBlock - pool.lastRewardedBlock;</pre>
227	}
228	<pre>rewards = blocksSinceLastReward * pool.rewardTokensPerBlock;</pre>
229	<pre>if (pool.tokensStaked &gt; 0) {</pre>
230	accumulatedRewardsPerShare = pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare + (rewards
	<pre>/ pool.tokensStaked);</pre>
231	}
232	<pre>lastRewardedBlock = floorBlock;</pre>
233	}

Listing 23.3 The *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function that would inaccurately compute the parameter *rewards* if there is no staking at the computation moment



# Recommendations

We recommend updating the getPoolRewardsCheckpoint function like the code snippet below.

The *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* function would compute the parameter *rewards* if and only if there must be any staking at the computation moment (L229). If there is no staking, the parameter *rewards* would be 0.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol		
211	<pre>function getPoolRewardsCheckpoint(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _blockNumber)</pre>		
212	public		
213	view		
214	returns (		
215	uint256 accumulatedRewardsPerShare,		
216	uint256 lastRewardedBlock,		
217	uint256 rewards		
218	)		
219	{		
220	<pre>Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>		
221			
222	<pre>uint256 floorBlock = _blockNumber &lt;= pool.endBlock ? _blockNumber :</pre>		
	pool.endBlock;		
223			
224	<pre>if (pool.tokensStaked &gt; 0) {</pre>		
225	<pre>uint256 blocksSinceLastReward;</pre>		
226	<pre>if (floorBlock &gt;= pool.lastRewardedBlock) {</pre>		
227	<pre>blocksSinceLastReward = floorBlock - pool.lastRewardedBlock;</pre>		
228	}		
229	<pre>rewards = blocksSinceLastReward * pool.rewardTokensPerBlock;</pre>		
230	<pre>accumulatedRewardsPerShare = pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare + (rewards</pre>		
231	<pre>/ pool.tokensStaked);      }</pre>		
231	<pre>J lastRewardedBlock = floorBlock;</pre>		
233	}		

Listing 23.4 The improved getPoolRewardsCheckpoint function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

# Reassessment

The associated functions *getRewardsWithdrawable*, *getLatestPoolInfo*, and *getPoolRewardsCheckpoint* were removed from the *VucaStaking* contract. Hence, this issue was closed.



No. 24	Possibly Unstaking Or Retrieving Reward Tokens Before Staking Period Ends		
Diale	Low	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	Medium
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 182, 319, and 342		

We found **some nuance validation mistakes on the staking pool's** *endBlock* **parameter** on the following functions.

- 1. In the unStake function (L182 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 2. In the retrieveReward function (L319 in VucaStaking.sol)
- 3. In the withdrawERC20 function (L342 in VucaStaking.sol)

For instance, the *require(pool.endBlock* <= *block.number, "Staking active");* statement in L182 in the code snippet below. The root cause is that the *require* statement includes the case that the *block.number* == *pool.endBlock*.

Consequently, each staking pool can be unstaked, or retrieved its *reward tokens* via the *unStake*, *retrieveReward*, and *withdrawERC20* functions before the staking period ends.



Listing 24.1 The unStake, one of the functions that are affected to the issue



# **Recommendations**

We recommend revising the associated *require* statements (*L182*, *L319*, and *L342* in *VucaStaking.sol*) by excluding the case of the *block.number* == *pool.endBlock* similar to L182 in the code snippet below.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol		
179	<pre>function unStake(uint16 _poolId) external {</pre>		
180	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);		
181	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>		
182	<pre>require(pool.endBlock &lt; block.number, "Staking active");</pre>		
	// (SNIPPED)		
208	}		

Listing 24.2 The improved unStake function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

# Reassessment

This issue was fixed according to our recommendation.



No. 25	Recommended Event Emissions For Transparency And Traceability		
Diale	Low	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	Low
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Partially Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 312 - 332, 335 - 346, 349 - 371, and 373 - 385		

We consider operations of the following state-changing functions important and require proper event emissions for improving transparency and traceability.

- retrieveReward function (L312 332 in VucaStaking.sol)
- withdrawERC20 function (L335 346 in VucaStaking.sol)
- \_updatePoolInfo function (L349 371 in VucaStaking.sol)
- \_updatePoolRewards function (L373 385 in VucaStaking.sol)

# **Recommendations**

We recommend **emitting relevant events** on the associated functions to improve transparency and traceability.

### Reassessment

The *retrieveReward* function was improved to emit a proper event, whereas the *Vega Investment Group* team removed the *withdrawERC20* function. For the *\_updatePoolInfo* and *\_updatePoolRewards* functions, the team decided not to emit an event, nevertheless.

For this reason, this issue was considered *partially fixed*.



No. 26	Compiler Is Not Locked To Specific Version		
D: 1	Low	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	Medium
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files contracts/CrownToken.sol contracts/VucaStaking.sol			
Locations	CrownToken.sol L: 2 VucaStaking.sol L: 2		

The *CrownToken* and *VucaStaking* smart contracts should be deployed with the compiler version used in the development and testing process.

The compiler version that is not strictly locked via the *pragma* statement may make the contract incompatible against unforeseen circumstances.

An example code that is not locked to a specific version (e.g., using  $\geq$  or  $^{\wedge}$  directive) is shown below.



Listing 26.1 An example code that is not locked to a specific version

## **Recommendations**

We recommend locking the *pragma* version like the example code snippet below.

```
pragma solidity 0.8.0;
// or
pragma solidity =0.8.0;
```

```
contract SemVerFLoatingPragmaFixed {
}
```



Reference: https://swcregistry.io/docs/SWC-103

# Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue by locking the pragma version to v0.8.17.



No. 27	Compiler May Be Susceptible To Publicly Disclosed Bugs		
Diel		Likelihood	Low
Risk	Low	Impact	Medium
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files contracts/CrownToken.sol contracts/VucaStaking.sol			
Locations	CrownToken.sol L: 2 VucaStaking.sol L: 2		

The *CrownToken* and *VucaStaking* smart contracts use an outdated Solidity compiler version (v0.8.15) which may be susceptible to publicly disclosed vulnerabilities. The latest compiler patch version is 0.8.17, which contains the list of known bugs as the following link:

### https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.17/bugs.html

The known bugs may not directly lead to the vulnerability, but it may increase an opportunity to trigger some attacks further.

An example smart contract that does not use the latest patch version is shown below.

CrownToken.sol	
	// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT pragma solidity ^0.8.15;

Listing 27.1 An example smart contract that does not use the latest patch version (v0.8.17)

## **Recommendations**

We recommend using the latest patch version, v0.8.17, that fixes all known bugs.

# Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue by applying the patch version v0.8.17.



No. 28	Lack Of Applying Pool Changes		
D: 1	Low	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	Medium
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 209 - 227 (commit id: 5cc2e3f)		

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

We discovered that the *depositPoolReward* function does not apply active pool changes before calculating the parameters *rewardTokenPerBlock* (L213 in code snippet 28.1) and *totalPoolRewards* (L214).

lf there are active pool changes updating the parameters pool.endBlock for and pool.rewardTokensPerBlock pending in the queue, the resulting computed parameters rewardTokenPerBlock (L213) and totalPoolRewards (L214) would be incorrect, leading to depositing an incorrect amount of reward tokens to a staking pool.

## VucaStaking.sol

209	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId) public {</pre>
210	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
211	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>
212	
213	<pre>uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock /</pre>
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
214	<pre>uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock * (pool.endBlock -</pre>
	<pre>pool.startBlock + 1);</pre>
215	
216	<pre>require(totalPoolRewards &gt; pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already</pre>
	<pre>deposited");</pre>
217	
218	<pre>uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards;</pre>
219	
220	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>
	amount);
221	
222	<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards;</pre>



223	
224	PoolChanges memory changes;
225	
226	<pre>emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", currentPoolId, pools[_poolId], changes,</pre>
	<pre>block.number);</pre>
227	}

Listing 28.1 The *depositPoolReward* function that does not apply active pool changes

## **Recommendations**

We recommend updating the *depositPoolReward* function by **invoking the** *\_updatePoolInfo* function (like L212 in code snippet 28.2) to apply all active pool changes before calculating the parameters *rewardTokenPerBlock* (L214) and *totalPoolRewards* (L215).

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol			
209	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId) public {</pre>			
210	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>			
211	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>			
212	<pre>_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);</pre>			
213				
214	<pre>uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock /</pre>			
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>			
215	<pre>uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock * (pool.endBlock -</pre>			
	<pre>pool.startBlock + 1);</pre>			
216				
217	<pre>require(totalPoolRewards &gt; pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already</pre>			
	<pre>deposited");</pre>			
218				
219	<pre>uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards;</pre>			
220				
221	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>			
222	amount);			
222 223	<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards;</pre>			
225	pool.extension.cotalpoolkewards = totalpoolkewards;			
224	PoolChanges memory changes;			
225	Poorchanges memory changes,			
220	<pre>emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", currentPoolId, pools[ poolId], changes,</pre>			
	block.number);			
228	}			

Listing 28.2 The improved *depositPoolReward* function that applies all active pool changes before calculating the parameters *rewardTokenPerBlock* and *totalPoolRewards* 



The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

## Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team reworked the *depositPoolReward* function, as per the code snippet below, which also fixed this issue.

## VucaStaking.sol

209	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _amount) public {</pre>
210	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
211	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>
212	<pre>require(_amount &gt; 0, "Invalid amount");</pre>
213	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
214	
215	<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards += _amount;</pre>
216	
217	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>
	_amount);
218	
219	PoolChanges memory changes;
220	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(2, _poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number);</pre>
221	}

Listing 28.3 The reworked depositPoolReward function



No. 29	Incorrectly Calculating Total Pool Rewards		
D:-1	Low	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	Low
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 209 - 227 (	(commit id: 5cc2e3f)	

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

We found that the formula used for calculating the parameter *totalPoolRewards* (L214 in code snippet 29.1) is incorrect.

In a word, the formula includes one more block (*pool.endBlock - pool.startBlock + 1*) than the actual value (*pool.endBlock - pool.startBlock*), leading to an incorrect pool staking period.

As a result, the incorrect staking period would require an owner to deposit more reward tokens than the actual amount.

VucaStaking.sol		
209	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId) public {</pre>	
210	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>	
211	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>	
212		
213	<pre>uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock /</pre>	
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>	
214	uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock * ( <mark>pool.endBlock -</mark>	
	<pre>pool.startBlock + 1);</pre>	
215		
216	<pre>require(totalPoolRewards &gt; pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already</pre>	
	deposited");	
217		
218	<pre>uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards;</pre>	
219		
220	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>	
	amount);	
221		



```
222 pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards;
223
224 PoolChanges memory changes;
225
226 emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", currentPoolId, pools[_poolId], changes,
block.number);
227 }
```

Listing 29.1 The *depositPoolReward* function that incorrectly calculates the parameter *totalPoolRewards* 

## **Recommendations**

We recommend updating the associated formula (like L214 in code snippet 29.2) to correct the staking period.

VucaStaking.sol		
209	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId) public {</pre>	
210	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>	
211	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>	
212		
213	<pre>uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock /</pre>	
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>	
214	<pre>uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock * (pool.endBlock -</pre>	
	<pre>pool.startBlock);</pre>	
215		
216	<pre>require(totalPoolRewards &gt; pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already</pre>	
	<pre>deposited");</pre>	
217		
218	<pre>uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards;</pre>	
219		
220	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>	
224	amount);	
221	mosl systemation totalDeslDesuradetotalDeslDesurades	
222 223	<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards;</pre>	
224 225	PoolChanges memory changes;	
225	<pre>emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", currentPoolId, pools[ poolId], changes,</pre>	
-226	block.number);	
227	}	
_221	1	

Listing 29.2 The improved depositPoolReward function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.



## Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team reworked the *depositPoolReward* function, as per the code snippet below, which also fixed this issue.

VucaStaking.sol		
209	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _amount) public {</pre>	
210	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>	
211	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>	
212	<pre>require(_amount &gt; 0, "Invalid amount");</pre>	
213	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);	
214		
215	<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards += _amount;</pre>	
216		
217	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>	
	_amount);	
218		
219	PoolChanges memory changes;	
220	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(2, _poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number);</pre>	
221	}	

Listing 29.3 The reworked depositPoolReward function



No. 30	Incorrectly Calculating User's Pool Rewards		
D: 1	Low	Likelihood	Medium
Risk		Impact	Low
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 82 - 89 and 92 - 96 (commit id: 5cc2e3f)		

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

The *getRawRewards* (L82 - 89 in code snippet 30.1) and *getRewards* (L92 - 96) are *public* functions that an external caller can execute to get the user's pool rewards.

However, we found that if the functions are externally called, they could return incorrect pool rewards because they could operate on an outdated *pool* object (L84 in code snippet 30.1). In other words, **if there are active pending pool changes in the queue, the** *pool* **object loaded by the** *getRawRewards* **function in L84 would not be updated**.

As a result, the execution of the \_getPoolRewards function (code snippet 30.2) in L86 in code snippet 30.1 would return an incorrectly computed *pool* object, **making the calculation in L88 to be incorrect**.

VucaStaking.sol			
// rewards w/o adjustment			
<pre>function getRawRewards(uint16 _poolId, address _account) public view returns</pre>			
(uint256) {			
<pre>Staking memory staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][_account];</pre>			
Pool memory pool = pools[_poolId];			
<pre>pool = _getPoolRewards(pool, block.number);</pre>			
<pre>return staking.accumulatedRewards + (staking.amount *</pre>			
<pre>pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare) - staking.minusRewards;</pre>			
}			
// rewards with adjustment			
<pre>Function getRewards(uint16 _poolId, address _account) public view returns</pre>			
(uint256) {			



93 uint256 rawRewards = getRawRewards(\_poolId, \_account);
94
95 return rawRewards / (10\*\*IERC20Helper(pools[\_poolId].stakeToken).decimals())
7 REWARDS\_PRECISION;
96 }

Listing 30.1 The *getRawRewards* and *getRewards* functions that could return the incorrect user's pool rewards

Vuca	Staking.sol
361	<pre>function _getPoolRewards(Pool memory _pool, uint256 _blockNumber) internal pure</pre>
	returns (Pool memory) {
362	uint256 floorBlock = _blockNumber <= <mark>_pool.endBlock</mark> ? _blockNumber :
	_pool.endBlock;
363	
364	<pre>if (_pool.tokensStaked == 0) {</pre>
365	<pre>_pool.lastRewardedBlock = floorBlock;</pre>
366	<pre>return _pool;</pre>
367	}
368	
369	<pre>uint256 blocksSinceLastReward;</pre>
370	<pre>if (floorBlock &gt;= _pool.lastRewardedBlock) {</pre>
371	<pre>blocksSinceLastReward = floorBlockpool.lastRewardedBlock;</pre>
372	}
373	<pre>uint256 rewards = blocksSinceLastReward * _pool.rewardTokensPerBlock;</pre>
374	<pre>_pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare = _pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare +</pre>
	<pre>(rewards / _pool.tokensStaked);</pre>
375	<pre>_pool.lastRewardedBlock = floorBlock;</pre>
376	<pre>_pool.extension.totalUserRewards += rewards;</pre>
377	
378	return _pool;
379	}

Listing 30.2 The \_getPoolRewards function that could incorrectly calculate the pool object using the outdated pool's info



# Recommendations

We recommend two possible solutions.

- 1. Changing the access visibility of the *getRawRewards* and *getRewards* functions to *private* or *internal* to allow only the *VucaStaking* contract's functions to execute them internally.
- 2. Updating the *getRawRewards* function by simulating the up-to-date *pool* object in memory like the code snippets 30.3 and 30.4 below.

The getRawRewards function would execute the \_updatePoolInfoInMemory function (L85 in code snippet 30.3) to get the up-to-date pool object, and then pass the pool object to the \_getPoolRewards function (L86).

Code snippet 30.4 presents the functions \_updatePoolInfoInMemory (L98 - 122) and \_updatePoolRewardsInMemory (L124 - 131) that simulate the up-to-date pool object in memory.

#### VucaStaking.sol

```
81
    // rewards w/o adjustment
   function getRawRewards(uint16 _poolId, address _account) public view returns
82
    (uint256) {
83
        Staking memory staking = stakingUsersInfo[ poolId][ account];
84
        Pool memory pool = _updatePoolInfoInMemory(_poolId);
85
86
        pool = _getPoolRewards(pool, block.number);
87
88
        return staking.accumulatedRewards + (staking.amount *
    pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare) - staking.minusRewards;
89
    }
90
91
    // rewards with adjustment
   function getRewards(uint16 _poolId, address _account) public view returns
92
    (uint256) {
93
        uint256 rawRewards = getRawRewards(_poolId, _account);
94
        return rawRewards / (10**IERC20Helper(pools[ poolId].stakeToken).decimals())
95
    / REWARDS_PRECISION;
96
   }
```

Listing 30.3 The improved *getRawRewards* and *getRewards* functions that calculate the user's pool rewards using the in-memory up-to-date *pool* object



#### VucaStaking.sol

```
98
     function _updatePoolInfoInMemory(uint16 _poolId) internal view returns (Pool
     memory pool) {
 99
         _pool = pools[_poolId];
100
         uint256 size = poolsChanges[ poolId].length;
101
102
         uint256 i = pool.extension.currentPoolChangeId;
103
         for (; i < size; i++) {</pre>
104
             PoolChanges memory changes = poolsChanges[_poolId][i];
105
106
             uint256 updateAtBlock = changes.blockNumber + pool.updateDelay;
107
             if (!( pool.endBlock > updateAtBlock && block.number >= updateAtBlock))
     {
108
                 break;
109
             }
110
111
             _pool = _updatePoolRewardsInMemory(_pool, updateAtBlock);
112
             if (changes.updateParamId == UpdateParam.MaxStakeTokens) {
113
                 pool.maxStakeTokens = changes.updateParamValue;
114
             } else if (changes.updateParamId == UpdateParam.EndBlock) {
115
                 pool.endBlock = changes.updateParamValue;
116
             } else if (changes.updateParamId == UpdateParam.RewardTokensPerBlock) {
117
                 pool.rewardTokensPerBlock = changes.updateParamValue;
118
             }
119
             changes.applied = true;
120
         }
121
         _pool.extension.currentPoolChangeId = i;
122
     }
123
124
     function _updatePoolRewardsInMemory(Pool memory _pool, uint256 _blockNumber)
     internal view returns (Pool memory) {
125
         Pool memory newPool = getPoolRewards( pool, blockNumber);
126
         pool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare = newPool.accumulatedRewardsPerShare;
127
128
         _pool.lastRewardedBlock = newPool.lastRewardedBlock;
129
         pool.extension.totalUserRewards = newPool.extension.totalUserRewards;
         return _pool;
130
131
     }
```

Listing 30.4 The \_updatePoolInfoInMemory and \_updatePoolRewardsInMemory functions in which simulate the up-to-date pool object in memory

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.



## Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue by changing the access visibility of the getRawRewards and getRewards functions to internal.



No. 31	Lack Of Proper Input Sanitization Check		
Diala	Low	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	Medium
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Acknowledged
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 180 - 207	(commit id: 5cc2e3f)	

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

We noticed that the *createPool* function (code snippet 31.1) **lacks a proper sanitization check on the** \_*updateDelay* parameter.

If a staking pool is created with an invalid value of the *\_updateDelay* parameter, there is no solution for an owner to update that pool parameter in production.

VucaStaking.sol			
180	function createPool(		
181	address _rewardToken,		
182	address _stakeToken,		
183	uint256 _maxStakeTokens,		
184	<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>		
185			
186	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>		
187	uint32 _updateDelay		
188	) external onlyOwner {		
189	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; block.number &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid</pre>		
	<pre>start/end block");</pre>		
190	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>		
191	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid staking token");</pre>		
192			
193	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>		
194	pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;		
195	pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;		
196			
197	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;</pre>		
198	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;</pre>		
199	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _endBlock;</pre>		



200	
201	pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
202	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
203	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>
204	
205	<pre>emit PoolCreated("PoolCreated", currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId],</pre>
	<pre>block.number);</pre>
206	currentPoolId += 1;
207	}

Listing 31.1 The *createPool* function that lacks a proper sanitization check on the *\_updateDelay* parameter

# Recommendations

We recommend updating the *createPool* function by **adding the proper sanitization check** similar to L192 in the code snippet below.

VucaStaking.sol			
function createPool(			
address _rewardToken,			
address _stakeToken,			
<pre>uint256 _maxStakeTokens,</pre>			
<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>			
<pre>uint256 _endBlock,</pre>			
<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>			
uint32 _updateDelay			
) external onlyOwner {			
<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; block.number &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid</pre>			
<pre>start/end block");</pre>			
<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>			
<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid staking token");</pre>			
<pre>require(_updateDelay &gt;= MIN_UPDATE_DELAY, "Invalid update delay");</pre>			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;</pre>			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = maxStakeTokens;</pre>			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxstakeTokens = _maxstakeTokens, pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = startBlock;</pre>			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startblock = _startblock; pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = endBlock;</pre>			
poors[currence ooriu].enubrockenubrock,			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *</pre>			
(10**IERC20Helper(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>			
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>			



```
emit PoolCreated("PoolCreated", currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId],
block.number);
currentPoolId += 1;
}
```

Listing 31.2 The improved createPool function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

# Reassessment

This issue was acknowledged by the *Vega Investment Group* team. Nonetheless, **the team decided not to fix this issue because even the** *zero* **update delay is their acceptable value**.



No. 32	Malfunction Of The depositPoolReward Function		
Risk	Low	Likelihood	Low
		Impact	Medium
Functionality is in use	In use	Status	Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 214 - 233 (	(commit id: a664de1)	

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: a664de1b105c3013cd7d372f48db7ea2aebeb946.* 

We found that the *depositPoolReward* function does not support depositing an arbitrary amount of pool reward tokens.

The function would compute the *totalPoolRewards* variable based on the pool parameters *rewardTokensPerBlock* (L219 in code snippet 32.1) and *endBlock* (L220), which are updatable parameters.

The computed *totalPoolRewards* would then be used to determine the *amount* variable (L224). Finally, the function would execute the *safeTransferFrom* function (L228) to deposit a number (specified by the computed *amount* variable) of reward tokens to the pool.

Nevertheless, we discovered that the *depositPoolReward* function does not support the following depositing scenario.

- 1. A staking pool is created using the following pool parameters: *rewardTokensPerBlock* = 2, *startBlock* = 0, and *endBlock* = 10.
- 2. An owner executes the *depositPoolReward* function to deposit the pool rewards. From the pool parameters described in Step 1, the *totalPoolRewards* variable would be 22.

Thus, 22 reward tokens would be transferred and locked in the VucaStaking contract.

3. An owner respectively invokes the functions *updateRewardTokensPerBlock* and *updateEndBlock* to create two pool changes for updating the *rewardTokensPerBlock* parameter to 1 and the *endBlock* parameter to 15.



- 4. For the sake of understanding, let's say both pool changes are active and applied to the pool at block number 10.
- 5. An owner calls the *depositPoolReward* function again to deposit additional pool rewards.

In this step, the computed totalPoolRewards variable would be 16 (i.e., 1 \* (15 - 0 + 1)), which is an incorrect value (the correct value must be 25).

The incorrect *totalPoolRewards* variable (containing 16) causes the transaction to be **unexpectedly reverted in L222** since the *depositPoolReward* function considers that the 22 reward tokens deposited in Step 1 are adequate for all stakers.

Even though the *depositPoolReward* function would be functioning incorrectly, an owner has a workaround solution by transferring the reward tokens to the *VucaStaking* contract directly.

With the above workaround solution, nonetheless, the staking pool would not track the pool parameter *totalPoolRewards* (L226). That could make an owner not be able to withdraw some locked reward tokens (when calling the *retrieveReward* function) if some stakers forfeit their rewards (by calling the *emergencyWithdraw* function).

Vuca	Staking.sol
214	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId) public {</pre>
215	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
216	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>
217	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
218	
219	<pre>uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock /</pre>
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
220	<pre>uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock * (pool.endBlock -</pre>
	pool.startBlock + 1);
221	
222	<pre>require(totalPoolRewards &gt; pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already</pre>
	deposited");
223	
224	<pre>uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards;</pre>
225	
226	<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards;</pre>
227	
228	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>
	amount);
229	
230	PoolChanges memory changes;
231	
232	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(2, currentPoolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number);</pre>
233	}



Listing 32.1 The *depositPoolReward* function that does not support depositing an arbitrary amount of pool reward tokens

# **Recommendations**

We recommend reworking the *depositPoolReward* function as per the below code snippet. The improved function would allow an owner to deposit an arbitrary amount of pool reward tokens.

VucaStaking.sol		
<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId, uint256 _amount) public {</pre>		
<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>		
<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>		
<pre>require(_amount &gt; 0, "Invalid amount");</pre>		
<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards += _amount;</pre>		
<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>		
_amount);		
PoolChanges memory changes;		
<pre>emit PoolUpdated(2, _poolId, pools[_poolId], changes, block.number);</pre>		
}		

Listing 32.2 The improved depositPoolReward function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue by adopting our recommended code.


No. 33	Inconsistent Error Message With The Code		
Diala	Informational	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	Low
Functionality is in use	In use Status Fixed		Fixed
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 247		

We found an error message inconsistent with the code in the function *createPool* (L247 in the code snippet below). This inconsistency can lead to misunderstanding among users or developers when maintaining the source code.

Vuca	Staking.sol
236	function createPool(
237	address _rewardToken,
238	address _stakeToken,
239	uint256 _maxStakeTokens,
240	<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>
241	<pre>uint256 _endBlock,</pre>
242	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>
243	uint32 _updateDelay
244	) external onlyOwner {
245	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; 0 &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid start/end</pre>
	<pre>block");</pre>
246	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
247	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
	// (SNIPPED)
265	}

Listing 33.1 The createPool function with an inconsistent error message



## Recommendations

We recommend revising the associated error message to reflect the actual code like L247 in the code snippet below.

Vuca	Staking.sol
236	function createPool(
237	address _rewardToken,
238	address _stakeToken,
239	uint256 _maxStakeTokens,
240	<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>
241	uint256 _endBlock,
242	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>
243	uint32 _updateDelay
244	) external onlyOwner {
245	require(_startBlock > 0 && _startBlock < _endBlock, "Invalid start/end
	<pre>block");</pre>
246	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
247	require(_stakeToken != address(0), <mark>"Invalid staking token"</mark> );
	// (SNIPPED)
265	}

Listing 33.2 The improved createPool function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

#### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue according to our suggestion.



No. 34	Inconsistent Event Emission With The Code #1		
	Informational	Likelihood	Low
Risk		Impact	Low
Functionality is in use	In use Status Fixed		
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol		
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 263		

We found the event emission inconsistent with the operation of the *createPool* function (L263 in the code snippet below). The inconsistent event may lead to misunderstanding among developers or users when tracing the function's event log.

Staking.sol
function createPool(
address _rewardToken,
address _stakeToken,
uint256 _maxStakeTokens,
<pre>uint256 _startBlock,</pre>
uint256 _endBlock,
<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>
uint32 _updateDelay
) external onlyOwner {
<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; 0 &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid start/end</pre>
<pre>block");</pre>
require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");
<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>
pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;
pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = _maxStakeTokens;</pre>
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock = _endBlock;</pre>
pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *
<pre>(10**IERC20(_stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>
<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = _updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>



260	
261	PoolChanges memory changes;
262	
263	<pre>emit PoolUpdated(currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], changes,</pre>
	block.number);
264	currentPoolId += 1;
265	}

Listing 34.1 The inconsistent event emission in the createPool function

## **Recommendations**

We recommend **emitting the new event to be consistent with the** *createPool* **function** as shown in L263 in the code snippet below.

Vuca	VucaStaking.sol				
236	<pre>function createPool(</pre>				
237	address _rewardToken,				
238	address _stakeToken,				
239	uint256 _maxStakeTokens,				
240	uint256 _startBlock,				
241	uint256 _endBlock,				
242	<pre>uint256 _rewardTokensPerBlock,</pre>				
243	uint32 _updateDelay				
244	) external onlyOwner {				
245	<pre>require(_startBlock &gt; 0 &amp;&amp; _startBlock &lt; _endBlock, "Invalid start/end</pre>				
	<pre>block");</pre>				
246	<pre>require(_rewardToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>				
247	<pre>require(_stakeToken != address(0), "Invalid reward token");</pre>				
248					
249	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].inited = true;</pre>				
250	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].rewardToken = _rewardToken;</pre>				
251 252	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].stakeToken = _stakeToken;</pre>				
252 253	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].maxStakeTokens = maxStakeTokens;</pre>				
255 254	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].startBlock = _startBlock;</pre>				
255	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].endBlock =startblock;</pre>				
256	poors[currentioorru].enubrockenubrock;				
257	pools[currentPoolId].rewardTokensPerBlock = _rewardTokensPerBlock *				
237	(10**IERC20( stakeToken).decimals()) * REWARDS PRECISION;				
258	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].lastRewardedBlock = _startBlock;</pre>				
259	<pre>pools[currentPoolId].updateDelay = updateDelay; // = 8 hours;</pre>				
260					
261	PoolChanges memory changes;				
262					
263	<pre>emit PoolCreated(currentPoolId, pools[currentPoolId], block.number);</pre>				
264	<pre>currentPoolId += 1;</pre>				



## 265 }

Listing 34.2 The improved event in the createPool function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

#### Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue according to our recommendation.



No. 35	Recommended Enforcing Checks-Effects-Interactions Pattern			
Diale	Informational	Likelihood	Low	
Risk		Impact	Low	
Functionality is in use	In use Status Fixed			
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol			
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 153 - 176 and 179 - 208			

We noticed that the functions *emergencyWithdraw* (code snippet 35.1) and *unStake* (code snippet 35.2) do not follow the *checks-effects-interactions* pattern, which is the best practice coding style to prevent potential *reentrancy* attacks.

In L169 in the code snippet 35.1 below, for example, the *emergencyWithdraw* function transfers a staking token back to a staker (*interactions part*) before updating state variables (*effects part*) in L171 - 175.

Even if there are no *reentrancy* issues, we recommend that both functions should be enforced the *checks-effects-interactions* pattern.

```
VucaStaking.sol
```

```
153
     function emergencyWithdraw(uint16 _poolId) external {
154
         _updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
155
         Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];
156
         Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];
157
         uint256 amount = staking.amount;
158
         require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds");
159
         _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);
160
161
         // Update pool
162
         if (pool.tokensStaked >= amount) {
163
             pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
164
         }
165
166
         staking.amount = 0;
167
168
         // Withdraw tokens
169
         IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);
170
         emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);
171
```



172	
173	// Update staker
174	<pre>staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;</pre>
175	<pre>staking.minusRewards = 0;</pre>
176	}

Listing 35.1 The *emergencyWithdraw* function without enforcing the *checks-effects-interactions* pattern

#### VucaStaking.sol

```
179
     function unStake(uint16 _poolId) external {
180
         _updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
181
         Pool storage pool = pools[ poolId];
182
         require(pool.endBlock <= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>
183
184
         Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];
185
         uint256 amount = staking.amount;
186
         require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds");
187
         _updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);
188
189
         // Pay rewards
190
         uint256 rewards = getRewards(_poolId, msg.sender);
191
         IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(msg.sender, rewards);
192
193
         // Update pool
         pool.rewardsWithdrew += getRawRewards( poolId, msg.sender);
194
195
         if (pool.tokensStaked >= amount) {
196
             pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
197
         }
198
199
         // Withdraw tokens
200
         IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);
201
202
         emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);
203
204
         // Update staker
205
         staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;
206
         staking.minusRewards = 0;
207
         staking.amount = 0;
208
     }
```

Listing 35.2 The *unStake* function without enforcing the *checks-effects-interactions* pattern



## Recommendations

We recommend enforcing the *checks-effects-interactions* pattern to both *emergencyWithdraw* (code snippet 35.3) and *unStake* (code snippet 35.4) functions.

To fix this issue in detail, we moved the *interactions* part (the *transfer* function in L175 in the below code snippet 35.3) to get executed after the *effects* part (L168 - 172).

VucaStaking.sol

153	<pre>function emergencyWithdraw(uint16 _poolId) external {</pre>
154	_updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
155	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
156	<pre>Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];</pre>
157	<pre>uint256 amount = staking.amount;</pre>
158	<pre>require(staking.amount &gt; 0, "Insufficient funds");</pre>
159	
160	<pre>_updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);</pre>
161	// Update pool
162	<pre>if (pool.tokensStaked &gt;= amount) {</pre>
163	pool.tokensStaked -= amount;
164	}
165	•
166	<pre>staking.amount = 0;</pre>
167	
168	<pre>emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);</pre>
169	
170	// Update staker
171	<pre>staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;</pre>
172	<pre>staking.minusRewards = 0;</pre>
173	
174	// Withdraw tokens
175	<pre>IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);</pre>
176	}

Listing 35.3 The improved *emergencyWithdraw* function enforcing the *checks-effects-interactions* pattern

### VucaStaking.sol

```
179
     function unStake(uint16 _poolId) external {
180
         _updatePoolInfo(_poolId);
181
         Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];
182
         require(pool.endBlock <= block.number, "Staking active");</pre>
183
184
         Staking storage staking = stakingUsersInfo[_poolId][msg.sender];
185
         uint256 amount = staking.amount;
186
         require(staking.amount > 0, "Insufficient funds");
187
```



188	<pre>_updatePoolRewards(_poolId, block.number);</pre>
189	<pre>uint256 rewards = getRewards(_poolId, msg.sender);</pre>
190	
191	// Update pool
192	<pre>pool.rewardsWithdrew += getRawRewards(_poolId, msg.sender);</pre>
193	<pre>if (pool.tokensStaked &gt;= amount) {</pre>
194	<pre>pool.tokensStaked -= amount;</pre>
195	}
196	
197	<pre>emit StakingChanged(msg.sender, _poolId, pool, staking);</pre>
198	
199	// Update staker
200	<pre>staking.accumulatedRewards = 0;</pre>
201	<pre>staking.minusRewards = 0;</pre>
202	<pre>staking.amount = 0;</pre>
203	
204	// Pay rewards
205	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).transfer(msg.sender, rewards);</pre>
206	
207	// Withdraw tokens
208	<pre>IERC20(pool.stakeToken).transfer(address(msg.sender), amount);</pre>
209	}

Listing 35.4 The improved *unStake* function enforcing the *checks-effects-interactions* pattern

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

## Reassessment

The Vega Investment Group team fixed this issue by enforcing the checks-effects-interactions pattern.



No. 36	Inconsistent Event Emission With The Code #2			
Diak	Informational	Likelihood	Low	
Risk		Impact	Low	
Functionality is in use	In use Status Acknowledged			
Associated Files	contracts/VucaStaking.sol			
Locations	VucaStaking.sol L: 209 - 227 (commit id: 5cc2e3f)			

*This issue was raised during the reassessment phase at the commit id: 5cc2e3fcb2a4268bd97e6e02395bac08b592a91d.* 

We found an event emission inconsistent with the operation of the *depositPoolReward* function (L226 in code snippet 36.1). The inconsistent event may lead to misunderstanding among developers or users when tracing the function's event log.

VucaStaking.sol 209 function depositPoolReward(uint16 \_poolId) public { 210 Pool storage pool = pools[\_poolId]; 211 require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid"); 212 213 uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock / (10\*\*IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS\_PRECISION; 214 uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock \* (pool.endBlock pool.startBlock + 1); 215 216 require(totalPoolRewards > pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already deposited"); 217 218 uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards; 219 220 IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), amount); 221 222 pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards; 223 224 PoolChanges memory changes; 225 226 emit PoolUpdated("PoolUpdated", currentPoolId, pools[ poolId], changes, block.number);



### 227 }

Listing 36.1 The inconsistent event emission in the depositPoolReward function

#### **Recommendations**

We recommend **emitting the new relevant event to be consistent with the** *depositPoolReward* function as shown in L224 in the code snippet below.

#### VucaStaking.sol

209	<pre>function depositPoolReward(uint16 _poolId) public {</pre>
210	<pre>Pool storage pool = pools[_poolId];</pre>
211	<pre>require(pool.inited, "Pool invalid");</pre>
212	
213	<pre>uint256 rewardTokenPerBlock = pool.rewardTokensPerBlock /</pre>
	<pre>(10**IERC20Helper(pool.stakeToken).decimals()) / REWARDS_PRECISION;</pre>
214	<pre>uint256 totalPoolRewards = rewardTokenPerBlock * (pool.endBlock -</pre>
	<pre>pool.startBlock + 1);</pre>
215	
216	<pre>require(totalPoolRewards &gt; pool.extension.totalPoolRewards, "Already</pre>
	<pre>deposited");</pre>
217	
218	<pre>uint256 amount = totalPoolRewards - pool.extension.totalPoolRewards;</pre>
219	
220	<pre>IERC20(pool.rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this),</pre>
	amount);
221	
222	<pre>pool.extension.totalPoolRewards = totalPoolRewards;</pre>
223	
224	emit PoolRewardDeposited(_poolId, amount, totalPoolRewards, block.number);
225	}

Listing 36.2 The improved event in the depositPoolReward function

The recommended code provides the concept of how to remediate this issue only. The code should be adjusted accordingly.

#### Reassessment

The *Vega Investment Group* team acknowledged this issue but decided not to fix it because **the current implementation emits event parameters expected by their off-chain web services**.



# Appendix

## About Us

Founded in 2020, Valix Consulting is a blockchain and smart contract security firm offering a wide range of cybersecurity consulting services such as blockchain and smart contract security consulting, smart contract security review, and smart contract security audit.

Our team members are passionate cybersecurity professionals and researchers in the areas of private and public blockchain technology, smart contract, and decentralized application (DApp).

We provide a service for assessing and certifying the security of smart contracts. Our service also includes recommendations on smart contracts' security and gas optimization to bring the most benefit to users and platform creators.

## **Contact Information**



info@valix.io



https://www.facebook.com/ValixConsulting



https://twitter.com/ValixConsulting



https://medium.com/valixconsulting



## References

Title	Link
OWASP Risk Rating Methodology	https://owasp.org/www-community/OWASP_Risk_Rating_Methodology
Smart Contract Weakness Classification and Test Cases	https://swcregistry.io/

